Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

NOVEMBER 19, 2020 – DECEMBER 4, 2020 LISTENING SESSION DISCUSSION/COMMENTS

_				
Date	Submitter	Email Address	COMMENTS	CITY RESPONSES/RECOMMENDATIONS
Submitted				
12/04/2020	Maryalice Chester	mac59601@gmail.com	 Please allow me to express my support for having the DeFord trail made into an ADA accessible one. Our aging population as well as families with very young children would be well served by this change. The Lower Davis Gulch bike jump should not be sanctioned or approved, as this signals approval of other rogue tracks. These areas are showing up on several non-system Forest Service trails and the Mt. Helena Ridge trail has areas that have been used as jumps as well. There may be an argument that closing the bike jump area will cause new unauthorized areas to appear. Trick riding - and ANY speed riding - is not a suitable use for Helena's open lands. We MUST put the land and it's flora and fauna FIRST and any use that takes 	Thank you for your comment, see responses regarding the Davis DeFord Trail Project. The project will be recommended to be looked at holistically with a working group formed as a sub-group of HOLMAC.
12/04/2020	Robert	robertrasmussen2020@gmail.com	away from its health as secondary I have a few comments on the proposals:	Thank you for your comments. The
12/04/2020		TODELLI ASITUSSENZOZO (@ginan.com		
	Rasmussen		1. Limekiln improvements	following list addresses each point that you have raised:
			Be sure the Tank Access Road lines up with the road across the	
			road; a L&C County approach permit may be needed. 2. Climbing routes	 Although the final plans for the proposed alterations to the Winnie
			 Childing Foures- There should be a map of the proposed routes. Ask for a scaled drawing showing the existing (19) and proposed (15) routes. Directional trail There should be a map of the proposed trail. ADA trail The budget for this seems a bit light; particularly the \$7,000 allocated for excavation and surface material spreading. Beattie St. TH There should be a map of the proposed trail improvements. 	 Water Tank access road have not been completed, the project site is located within the City Limits of Helena and will not require obtainment of a Lewis & Clark County road approach permit. 2. The City Parks Department will have final approval authority for the 15 proposed climbing routes and associated access paths. In the event

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 1 | 75

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			Thanks for all your work on this! Raz	 that the proposed climbing route installation project moves forward, the City will request that the Helena Climbing Coalition produce a map of the new climbing routes and associated access paths upon completion. 3. At present, the field layout of the proposed Directional Trail to Eddye West connector trail has not been completed, however, City approval of a designated route will be required prior to the occurrence of ground disturbing activities. 4. The preliminary budget for the proposed ADA Trail within Mount Helena City Park assumes that City staff will complete all route development and surfacing work associated with the project. 5. The field layout work for the proposed Pay Dirt Trail reroute has not been completed at this time, but route designation and associated City staff approval are required prior to actual construction.
12/04/2020	Pat Doyle	pdoyle@mt.gov	Hello,	Thank you for your comments.
			I'm writing today in support of the proposed Helena Open Lands major projects for 2021. These projects are all needed and will add value to our city managed open lands. I applaud the city for looking at upgrading parking facilities to address the impacts of increased use and health and safety. Additionally, the addition of an ADA accessible trail is an incredible	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 2 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			addition to Mount Helena and I'm glad that you're prioritizing making our city managed lands more inclusive to all.	
12/03/2020	Michele Webster	michele.na.mt@gmail.com	I want to state I have appreciated the opportunity to participate in the Listening Sessions this fall regarding the proposed projects for our Helena Open Lands. The new process is a step in the right direction as it increases involvement of the general public as well as transparency regarding the decision-making process. The projects going forward appear to be supported by many members of our community. I do share the concern expressed by some that the new proposed trail connecting the Directional Trails to Eddye West does not necessarily address the safety issues hikers are experiencing on Eddye West.	Thank you for your comments. We believe HOLMAC has the appropriate representation and are working to fill any vacant positions for appropriate representation. We appreciate your participation and comments throughout this process.
			I support the formation of a Working Group to address the ADA-Conversion proposal for DeFord as well as the Davis Gulch Bike Parks. It appears that the representation is balanced with three representatives likely favoring the mountain biking/tourism community and three representatives likely favoring the hikers/ADA community. I ask that in order to maintain this balance, you pick a HOLMAC representative that is not actively involved with a special interest group. Eric Sivers, T J Lehman, and Karen Reese are connected with the biking/tourism industry. Claudia Clifford is active with Helena Hikes. It appears to me one of the other voting members might be a better choice in order to maintain a balanced perspective as they work to come up with a solution that is acceptable to most people. I also ask that the Working Group meetings be open to the public in order to assure transparency and ensure members of the general public are given an opportunity to comment throughout the process.	
			The HOL 2004 Management Plan recommended that HOLMAC contain at least two members with resource management experience in soils, hydrology, wildlife management, recreation, or vegetation. Do two current members meet this recommendation? If not, please consider filling any future openings with people with resource management experience. Additionally, the management plan states that if the	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 3 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			City/County Parks Board cannot provide a member to participate, it is	
			possible to substitute an at-large representative for the duration of the	
			membership term. If this position is still vacant and the City/County Parks	
			Board cannot provide a member, please consider filling the position with an	
			at-large member who has resource management experience.	
			Thank you for this opportunity to comment.	
12/03/2020	Gloria Tatchell	gtatch1@gmail.com	HOLMAC, Kristi, and Brad,	The new Helena Open Lands major projects
				public process, and update of our
			Thanks for developing this public process and providing the public with	management plan, was only an update of
			an opportunity to comment on the HOL major projects for 2021.	Chapter 7 – Recreation. This new public
			Before developing my comments, I reviewed the 2004 Helena Open	process only encompasses recreation
			Lands Management Plan. Part of HOLMAC's mission includes, "The plan	specific projects.
			will create a framework to protect or enhance the natural environment,	,
			support management and maintenance practices that protect wildlife	The parking lots addressed in the proposed
			and natural habitat, enhance forest resource, provide fire mitigation,	projects had capacity and safety issues
			noxious weed control, erosion control, and native plan preservation."	before 2020. An impacts analysis was
			The current list of major projects doesn't address noxious weed control,	conducted for all proposed projects.
			erosion control or maintenance practices that protect the natural habitat,	conducted for an proposed projects.
				The City will look at further at and has
			instead the HOL's major projects mostly include parking lot expansion,	The City will look at further at, and has
			additional asphalt and vault toilets. I'm sure the parking lots were	already closed, unnecessary trails in the
			overused in 2020, because the trails were crowded with people every	proposed ADA trail area.
			day. It's great to see more of the public enjoying our public lands;	
			however, the city has to recognize the impact people have on our	
			beloved open space and adequately fund a management plan that	
			supports the HOLMAC mission of protecting and enhancing the	
			environment rather than only increasing the need for human	
			entertainment. Funding needs to be balanced when it comes to	
			protecting and promoting HOL.	
			The ADA trail on Mount Helena will be a wonderful addition to the park.	
			After looking at the aerial view, I hope the city will look at closing	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 4 | 75

			unnecessary trails near the ADA trail and reclaiming the area. Thanks	
			again for your work on protecting HOL.	
12/02/2020	Dennis McCahon	galumphant22@gmail.com	Hello, All; These comments concern an issue that isn't on your current list of Major Projects, but which deserves to be at some point.	Thank your comments regarding pedestrian access to Helena's open lands system. You are correct in your assessment of the change
			I think there should be a (probably inter-departmental) public planning effort to deal with pedestrian inter-access along the interface between the public open-lands and urban Helena.	in Helena's urban development over the last century. City of Helena Parks Department staff participates in the analysis of proposed
		that'll erode open and per The open-lar walkable He "walkable sig nowadays is efficient driv closes the in of so many, I think we ca town where against oper ends is a de- residents of something v	I say this because the urban side of that interface is developing in ways that'll erode a rare and fragile amenity that Helena has long enjoyed an open and pedestrian-permeable edge-of-town.	residential development's prior to their eventual presentation to City Commission for approval. Park and trail dedications associated with each new residential
			The open-lands should continue to function as a walkable continuation of walkable Helena, with dispersed, primarily-pedestrian, access frequent "walkable sightlines". I'm concerned that edge-of-town development nowadays is mostly a matter of closed loops and cul-de-sacs, designed for efficient driving but practically unwalkable. Such development effectively closes the interface. I'd hate to see the open-lands, within walking distance of so many, become just another parking-lot destination.	development project are the primary focal point of City staff and City/County Parks Board examination of these proposals.
			I think we can learn from those older neighborhoods along the south edge of town where the old orthogonal street-grid dead-ends unceremoniously against open-land (de-facto or designated) and where each of those dead- ends is a de-facto pedestrian trailhead. It works for those thousands of residents of the old town who live within walking distance. We've got something very special here, and it would be a shame to lose it. We should expand it, in some form, into newly-developing areas.	
			Then there's the matter of energy-efficient urban design. Planning for walkability is a big part of that effort and to somehow assure that the open-lands remain a walkable continuation of walkable Helena would be a	

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			huge contribution. So, how about it? Thanks for considering these remarks.	
			Comments Recorded at the November 19, 2020 Listening Session	
11/19/2020	Kristi Ponozzo	Comment Recorded at the November 19, 2020 Listening Session	Kristi reminded meeting attendees that there were several proposals for projects along Davis Gulch including a proposal to rework the two bike trails at that location (as presented by Matt Culpo) as well as a proposal from Meg Bishop to create an ADA trail and make that trail accessible.	Comment recorded.
			Those items do not appear on this list as this was the list that we were doing assessments on and that we will actually be taking before the Commission for approval. It is our goal to form a working group this year to evaluate the projects on Davis Gulch. We will be taking this idea to the Commission as well.	
			 The city staff will commit to: Facilitating three meetings for the working group We will propose that the working group consist of a member of HOLMAC, the people who proposed said projects (Matt Culpo and Meg Bishop) A representative from the City of Helena Community Development Department A representative from (1) Helena Hikes, (2) Helena Trails Alliance, and (3) Montana Bicycle Guild. 	
			This information will all be included in the presentation to the Commissions, not for approval of a project, but for approval of the process that will end in a potential proposal that would then be taken back and presented to the Commission.	
11/19/2020	Joan Miles	Comment Recorded at the	Joan requested that we put into the work plan that the city will look at safety measures at Eddye McClure West and the Directional Trail.	The City of Helena has located the previous letter sent to the City and in 2019 from Tony

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 6 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

November 19, 2020 Listening	Public participation for that work plan will be either providing those	Jewett and Helena Hikes. Below is an
Session	comments to the parks department or through HOLMAC. Joan stated that "she has provided those comments, please".	excerpt from that letter with their proposed mitigations:
		 Mitigation Steps: A. Isolate and Decrease Impacts of the Bike Park on other users 1. Make Eddye McClure unidirectional and only-uphill for bikes in the section from the Arrowhead Trailhead to the 206 Trail junction (slowing bike speed and traffic) 2. Provide a "sessioning' return route from the bottom of the DTs up an existing 2 track to Eddye McClure (connecting 200 yards below entrance to the DT's) 3. Establish a single access point to both DTs off of Eddye McClure (currently there are two separate access points and the trails are separated) 4. a) Close and reclaim that portion of DT trail #1 that begins at the junction of Eddye McClure and Ascension Loop trail down to the beginning of DT #1 downhill course, <u>or</u> B) make this section above a 'hikers only' connector back to Eddye McClure connecting near the Eddye McClure access opening to the DTs (this is the only portion of the DT system that is 'walkable') 5. Establish a parking/main access point to the DT system off the current parking area at the bottom off Arrowroot Dr. Bikers accessing the DT would start at the

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 7 | 75

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

				bottom, cycle to the top of the DT trails and go down. The City will look at mitigation aspects of this proposal that would be considered "minor" actions or proposals for this upcoming season. Anything considered a major action will need to be proposed at the beginning of the next public involvement process in 2021 so that it can go through the public process established.
11/19/2020	Jeff Bradley	Comment Recorded at the November 19, 2020 Listening Session	Jeff stated that all the projects submitted and discussed look good. He is happy to hear about the parking that is going to be put in at both Limekiln and up at the top of Arrowroot to the top of Mount Ascension. Thanks to the city for going through this process.	Thank you for your comment.
11/19/2020	Jerry Wells	Comment Recorded at the November 19, 2020 Listening Session	Jerry suggested that there is an opportunity for the use of volunteers who represent the various interest groups in the trail system who could go out, using an inventory system that would be vetted through the city, and evaluate some of the trails or portions of them. They could perhaps get a better look at a variety of issues to include erosion, grading gradients, etc. And while we all have our own thoughts about the trail system, this would give us an opportunity to go out together and see if we can't reach some conclusions on how we might want to deal with some of the issues within our trail system to include the issue of social trails. The longer we wait to deal with social trails, the harder it will be to ever deal with them.	Thank you for your comments concerning volunteer trail management opportunities. City staff would be very interested in coordinating with volunteers interested in the GPS mapping of undesignated "social" trails occurring within Helena's open lands system. Please feel free to have interested parties contact <u>blangsather@helenamt.gov</u> .
11/19/2020	Eric Groves	Comment Recorded at the November 19, 2020 Listening Session	Eric: Following Jerry's suggestion, maybe we could do a pilot project and get people engaged in talking about the trail system in Helena.	To be considered by HOLMAC.
11/19/2020	Jake Gunther	Comment Recorded at the	Jake stated he supports the project on the table this year. In regards to system assessment as we discussed at the November 19, 2020	Thank you for your comments.

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 8 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

		November 19, 2020 Listening	meeting, Jake added that in addition to safety, he would also like us to	
		Session	improve sightlines. He stated we should be looking for opportunities for safe locations to install more advanced mountain bike features on	
			existing trails.	
11/19/2020	Tony Zammit	Comment Recorded at the November 19, 2020 Listening Session	Tony stated that he is in support of all projects present this evening.	Thank you for your comment.
11/19/2020	Brian Barnes	Comment Recorded at the November 19, 2020 Listening Session	Brian provided a comment regarding the ADA project at Mount Helena. He strongly encourages the City Commission to help us find matching funds (if needed) to ensure this project goes through. This is an important project for our entire community and we need to make sure we get more ADA compliant trails in our system.	Thank you for your comment.
11/19/2020	Tony Jewitt	Comment Recorded at the November 19, 2020 Listening Session	Tony requested that the Parks Department implement the Directional Trail mitigation measures that the Helena Hikes group presented to the City of Helena Commission at their 11.14.2018 Administrative Meeting.	See response to comment above.
11/13/2020	Ken Morrison	skmorr@msn.com	Ken Morrison's response to the Parks Department's recommendations on a	Thank you for your response to City staff
			proposal addressing an improvement to the Archery Range Trail The City Staff's recognition of a problem on the northern starting point of the Archery Range Trail is appreciated. The Trail is an important one for walkers, runners and beginning/intermediate bike riders. Currently a small section at its starting point is not functioning for those users. A solution is needed.	assessment of your original proposal to relocate a portion of the Archery Range Trail. Many of the trails within the City's open lands system that were not professionally designed exhibit excessive gradients that are burdensome from a maintenance prospective, and in some instances, unsafe when covered with snow
			The Staff identified need for switchbacks is certainly not something contemplated in the proposal. There is a well-used trail that crosses the north end of the proposed alternative trail that would allow entry to the trail without having to construct switchbacks. And there are likely other options.	and ice. To avoid gradient issues, trail managers attempt to keep trail grades below 10% when constructing new trail routes. Starting at the intersection of the
			As the staff correctly noted, the existing trail is an old road that has been repurposed. Abandoning old roads that are not suitable for trail use is something that has been done numerous times in Helena area trail system	Eagle Scout and Archery Range trails and proceeding henceforth in a southerly direction, a ten percent grade nearly parallels the Archery Range Trail up to the

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 9 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

and could certainly be accomplished for a relatively small portion (100 yards) of the Archery Range Trail. This proposal specifically addresses Chapter 7 Recreation Plan, Goal 1 to "Provide safe and accessible recreational opportunities for all users." And it would be accomplished under the City Staff's direction with volunteer labor and private funding. If this is not the solution to the problem, for safety and accessibility reasons we need to find another. The project was suggested to be included on the 2021 trails project list with a general goal of fixing the problem. Including the project for 2021 with a solution to be determined, would allow for interested parties and City Staff to identify a solution if this proposal is not the right one. Ken Morrison's response to the Parks Department's recommendations on a proposal addressing trails crossing private lands on Sugar Loaf and Meat Loaf The City Staff's analysis of tails being mostly on platted street R/W or other City owner land is accurate for Meat Loaf Hill. However, a review of aerial photos reveals that the use of R/W for trails on Sugar Loaf is not so predominate. The Staff's description of the tails as "formal" versus "social" is really a	point (Point 1) where it steeply ascends to the hogback ridge in question. Standing at Point 1, a grade shot to the east that is fixed on a position (Point 2) on the reroute trail you have proposed, reveals a gradient over 20%. Therefore, to ascend from Point 1 to Point 2 on a constructed trail, and maintain a trail grade ≤ 10%, over two hundred feet of new trail and two switchbacks would need to be constructed to gain in excess of 20 feet in elevation. Regarding undesignated trails on Meatloaf and Sugar Loaf Hills, it is important to remember that the City Parks Department, Open Lands Division is responsible for managing lands that are designated as "open space". Platted City Right-of Ways that are not positioned within designated open space properties, are under the jurisdiction of the City Transportation and Public Works Departments.
City owner land is accurate for Meat Loaf Hill. However, a review of aerial photos reveals that the use of R/W for trails on Sugar Loaf is not so	that are not positioned within designated open space properties, are under the jurisdiction of the City Transportation and
issues as fences and no trespassing signs are erected on areas adjoining Beattie Street Park. The proposal is not intended to increase the number of tails, but rather to place public trails on public lands. It's possible that the number of tails could	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 10 | 75

•	actually be decreased by identifying clear legal access points and public land trails.	
	This proposal specifically addresses Chapter 7 Recreation Plan, Goal 3 to "Develop a proactive approach to meeting future open land's needs, adapting to environmental and social changes, and conserving the space for future generations."	
	A City policy of encouraging public use of public land, that is to say street R/W, and discouraging trespassing on private lands will best serve the City and its citizens. Designating legal public access tails and then trusting the trail users to use them and avoid trespassing is the right thing to do.	
	As a starting point to fixing the trespassing problem, a trails evaluation of the Sugar Loaf and Meat Loaf areas should be added to the 2021 trails project list with a plan of implantation in 2022.	

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	OCTOBER 20, 2020 LISTENING SESSION DISCUSSION/COMMENTS				
Date Submitted	Submitter	Email Address	COMMENTS	CITY RESPONSES/RECOMMENDATIONS	
10/20/20 Listening session	Jerry Wells		 Summarized: Wants to see a more extensive in-depth look at the entire trail system to address erosion, steepness, trail design, and social trails. Also would like to see USFS and BLM lands included in the assessment and for USFS and BLM to be non-voting members on HOLMAC 	Although the City of Helena has conducted an inventory/assessment of the current trail system, through a contract with PPLT, we believe this request is referring to more of a trail system functionality assessment. This would likely be a major project because of the cost, requiring the city to bring in a consultant to conduct an in-depth functionality study, assessment, report and recommendations. This is something that the City can look at through our next round of HOL Major projects process. It would be challenging to conduct an assessment that includes USFS and BLM lands, but potentially the City assessment could consider the connection areas where trails connect from City to USFS to BLM lands. The City of Helena is a part of the Helena Regional Trails Steering Committee that includes representation from the USFS and BLM where this issue/assessment could be considered.	
10/20/20 - Listening Session	Eric Grove	eric@greatdividecyclery.com	 Summarized: Proposal to re-route the lower third of the Eddye West Trail: regarding alignment of 200 yards of trail to make it better for both hikers and bikers 	The City can explore the proposal throughout 2020/2021 with interested parties and potentially bring this, or an agreed upon proposal, to the 2021 major	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 12 | 75

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

10/20/20 - Listening Session	Claudia Clifford	claudia.clifford57@gmail.com	 Summarized: Ms. Clifford emphasized the need for safety on our trails, a strong etiquette campaign, and conservation efforts (rehabilitation of damaged trails and ongoing maintenance issues, all need to be considered a major projects. 	project process. We recommend working with a representative from user groups: Helena Hikes, Helena Trails Alliance and Montana Bicycle Guild. The City of Helena has conducted an inventory/assessment of the current trail system, through a contract with PPLT. We also have contracted an etiquette campaign and trail maintenance and monitoring where we will look for safety issues in the summer of 2021, including determining if there are speed issues. If there are specific areas where there are issues, we would appreciate recommendations on where we should focus. We don't believe these projects are considered major projects, but there is potential that if we find significant issues with safety, speed, etc., that those issues would lead to a major project proposal such as changing the use of, rerouting, or closing a trail.
10/27/20	Becky Warren	rebeccawarren170@gmail.com	While visiting in the neighborhood, I learned that there's a possibility that one of my favorite trails, the DeFord Trail, could be developed to be ADA accessible. I've felt for years that our community needed a greater number of accessible trails for citizens with disabilities. DeFord, at the edge of a busy neighborhood, would be perfect for meeting that need. I fully support a revision of the DeFord trail to increase the number of Helenans who are able to enjoy our open space.	Thank you for your comments, see responses below regarding the Davis/DeFord Trail Project.
10/19/20	Michele Webster	michele.na.mt@gmail.com	Comments Attached in separate PDF	Thank you for your comments, see responses below regarding the Davis/DeFord Trail Project. Responses

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 13 | 75

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

				address the formation of the working group, history of the bike park, etc.
10/20/20	Margareta	gretaseyr56@gmail.com	I appreciate the opportunity to comment. After a review of your	Thank you for your comment, see responses
	(Meg) Bishop		comments responding to the public's comments, I feel it necessary to	regarding the Davis DeFord Trail Project. The
			resubmit and reinforce my original comments regarding the City's	project will be recommended to be looked
			Project Proposals for 2021. Your responses to my comments indicate	at holistically with a working group formed
			little consideration for the aforementioned issues/recommendations.	as a sub-group of HOLMAC.
			The highlighted portions below provide more detailed discussion/	Although the City of Helena has conducted
			commentary.	an inventory/assessment of the current trail
				system, through a contract with PPLT, we
			COMMENTS:	believe you are referring to more of a trail
			1. Before considering the additional of any more trails, as suggested by	system functionality assessment. This would
			Ken Morrison and others, I feel that conducting an	likely be a major project because of the cost,
			inventory/assessment of the current trail system is needed to better	requiring the city to bring in a consultant to
			inform future decisions and provide an adaptive management	conduct an in-depth functionality study,
			approach. Your comment to this recommendation was to reiterate	assessment, report and recommendations.
			that PPLT had already conducted a trail assessment. As I state below,	This is something that the City can look at
			after reviewing PPLT's assessment, it must be said that it is	through our next round of HOL Major
			incomplete and deficient – it addresses few of the questions below	projects process.
			which are necessary for proactive management planning or	
			adaptive management, as implied in Goal 3 below. It provides small	The City of Helena's new Chapter 7
			snapshots of potential remedial needs in discreet areas and does not	recreation plan does provide goals and
			provide a comprehensive view of current baseline. A more	direction to consider recreation from a
			comprehensive approach is needed and warranted at this time and	regional perspective for the citizens of
			should consider the following questions/issues – none of which it or	Helena and the greater Helena area. For
			you responded to.	example, we recently provided comments
			Chapter 7.4, Goal 3 of the Helena Open Lands Management Plan	on the Scratch Gravel Recreation Area
			"Future Needs and Growth" states, "Develop a proactive approach	Management Plan that state: The plan
			to meeting future open lands needs, adapting to environmental and	portrays improved recreational amenities. It
			social changes, and conserving the space for future generations."	provides value to the City of Helena and our
			How can you plan for this if you don't have a good idea of where	comments will be guided by the recently

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 14 | 75

Helena Open Lands Major Projects Consolidated Comment associated with September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

bei 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listennig Session Col	
you are now? What is an "open land NEED?" You mean "desires?"	revised Recreation Management Chapter of
You often state that the type and level of use dictates the types of	our Helena Open Lands (HOL) Plan.
projects proposed. Decisions are not based on substantiated	Specifically, Goal 1 of the plan is to provide
data/analysis, but on perceived needs presented by vocal interest	safe and accessible recreational opportunities
groups. That does not allow much space for planning that	for all users and outlines that the City of
"conserves the space for future generations."	Helena should "coordinate with other land
	managers/management agencies to enhance
Our open spaces and trails deserve better than to be relegated to a	HOL user access". Specifically, Goal 1.2c
live-action video experience.	guides the City of Helena to "increase trail
	management coordination to relieve HOL
Also, PPLT's relationship with the City is currently unclear. Is there	user pressures" and 1.2d guides the City of
some kind of formalized agreement between PPLT and the City? If	Helena to "Work with other land
so, what is that? Even with a formal agreement, PPLT is NOT a	management agencies to develop consistent
decision-maker. PPLT functions as a contractor to the City, and,	or complementary policies in e-bikes and
thus, does not hold any decision-making authority. And, does PPLT	other future user demands".
have the necessary expertise to address appropriate stewardship of	
our trails and open space?	From a regional perspective, this
a. What are the overall objectives of Helena's trail system?	management plan could better position the
b. What is the optimal trail density for this area considering	Scratchgravel Hills with improved recreation
resource issues and those surrounding "quality trail	to relieve HOL user pressures. For example,
experiences" for the various users?	spring is often the busiest time for the Helena
c. Is it time to put a "cap" on the addition of new trails?	Open Lands Trail System. It is also a time
d. Is the trail system meeting the needs of the variety of users?	when our trails are most suspectable to
e. How has trail usage changed since the last study was	damage from erosion. Having alternative
conducted?	areas within the region for citizens to access
f. If there is a change in how trails are used, what is the reason?	that may be drier and more erosion resistant
Have some types of uses been displaced by others? If so, why?	earlier in the season could take some user
g. How successful is the multiple-use concept to date? Do	pressure off our trail system.
changes need to be considered?	
	The City of Helena is currently exploring
	future e-bike policies on our Helena Open
	Lands. The e-bike opportunities in the

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 15 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session h. What are the conditions of existing trails? Weeds? Erosic PPLT's inventory is incomplete. A more comprehensive approach is needed. i. How have specialized bike courses impacted trails? How of these impacts be minimized or prevented? j. Are City open lands the appropriate location for bike cour and skills trails? Given the proximity to town and the vari users, is this the best use of these front-country trails? Of decision been made to create pockets of "sacrifice areas" accommodate a single use? The proximity of Helena's trail system to town is, arguably, one Helena's biggest assets. The beauty of the landscape and vistas promoted nationally (and internationally), as is the trail experier	on?Scratchgravels, as outlined in the plan, will be something to consider from a regional perspective as we define future HOL e-bike policies that may be consistent or complementary to the Scratchgravel e-bike policies.sesety of chas a toofSimilar to the Scratch Gravel area other nearby areas (like Great Divide Ski Area) that provide biking and general recreation amenities to the Citizens of Helena can provide relieved resource pressure on our City Open Lands and HOL will remain
 of the landscape. Management that minimizes trail degradationerosion, and the advance of weeds, as well as restoring existing degraded areas, should be a priority. Bike courses and skills trails are not appropriate activities on comultiple-use trail system for reasons surrounding safety and sustainable of the landscape and trails. Many communities throughout the country have realized the implications of these of activities and made necessary changes. I recently had a long conversation with Travis Campbell, one of owners of Great Divide Ski Area. They are currently in the proce planning a bike resort up at the ski area. If not for COVID, they have started last spring. They will be constructing a network of using consultants that will design technical trails with safety and in mind. They are currently speaking with a consultant who design technical trails with safety and in mind. They are currently speaking with a consultant who design technical trails with safety and in mind. 	bur kinds the ess of would trails d risk

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 16 | 75

Jepteniber 13, 2020, 0	ctober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments
	the bike trails on Mt. Whistler. Travis said they will, hopefully, begin
	the process spring 2021.
	The ski area is the appropriate place for bike courses and skills trails,
	as well as the Enduro Race. And liability is not an issue for them. I
	know there is a certain panache to holding an Enduro Race in town,
	while most of the other races in the series are held at ski
	areas. However, is it really the most appropriate venue?
	I understand that expanding mountain biking activities on City open
	lands is desirable for reasons of convenience, and because it is
	free. However, it is time to ensure a balance for our open lands, and
	to head off potential future issues. The ski area provides great
	opportunities for those who want to hone their skills and thrills. I
	also understand the interest in expanding tourism possibilities to
	enhance economic development. A counter to this approach are
	many communities that have made a priority of creating livable
	communities that enhance quality of life for their residents. In
	these communities, economic development became an organic
	outgrowth of this strategy, attracting many types of new residents
	and avoiding the trap of relying on tourism for its sustainability.
	The City has shown a clear bias towards advancing mountain biking
	interests on our open lands over the interest of other uses. It is also
	clear that PPLT has also become biased to these activities. Again,
	our open spaces and trails deserve better than to be relegated to a
	live-action video experience.
	2. (My Proposal) Creating an ADA-compliant, wheelchair accessible
	trail on DeFord Trail (Upper and Lower). There are few low-gradient
	trails in the system. This is an opportunity that would benefit folks in
	our community with disabilities and/or limited mobility, whether it is

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Col	
	temporary or permanent. The City trail system should inclusively	
	consider the needs of the entire community. And, often, those with	
	disabilities appreciate the opportunity to travel extended distances	
	and not be confined to short trails or picnic tables.	
	The total distance for this trail would be approximately 1.5 miles.	
	There will need to be consideration of connecting the Upper and	
	Lower segments in a more seamless way. We are hoping this project	
	serves as the start of a broader effort to enhance the livability of our	
	community for everyone. I have discussed this proposal with various	
	representatives of groups advocating for persons with disabilities	
	and/or mobility issues and they are quite excited and are interest in	
	being involved in some way, including a focus on creation of a project	
	area. Those include:	
	 Jacqueline Isaly, Community Health Promotion Division 	
	Administrator	
	 Joel Peden, MILP Advocacy Coordinator 	
	Charles Alvarez, MILP IL Specialist I	
	 Meg Traci, Research Associate Professor at the University of 	
	Montana Rural Institute for Inclusive Communities.	
	I am currently working with these folks on the development of cost	
	estimates for the project. They may also be available grant funding	
	for this kind of project and expanding the opportunity more broadly	
	within the City trail system.	
	**Given Matt Culpo's proposal regarding the "bike course" on DeFord, I	
	recommend organizing an on-site field meeting for the DeFord/Davis	
	projects to allow folks to better understand all the aspects of what is	
	being proposed there and to allow for discussion. According to	
	HOLMAC minutes in 2019, the Lower Davis Bike Jump line was	
	considered "unauthorized construction" (i.e. an illegal trail) in need of	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 18 | 75

reclamation. Unauthorized construction or illegal trails should never be
rewarded with legitimacy. You stated that it was re-authorized due to
public support. And, since this decision was not made available to the
general public at the time, the supporters were likely "insiders," who
were made aware of this issue that would affect the mountain biking
community.
If, in fact, the City would like to pursue the formation of a "working
group," it should be led by someone with the ability to remain neutral,
so as to avoid the perception of impropriety. And, importantly, this
group should represent a balanced interest in the community, including
stewardship and conservation.
3. Old Shooting Range Restroom Installation Project. I generally don't
understand the trend of installing permanently vaulted toilets at
trailheads when there are a number of highly-used City parks that
either have no toilet facilities or use portable toilets (Lockey,
Memorial, Centennial, etc.). Why are trailheads given a higher
priority than parks, that are often heavily used?
Are these restroom installations an effort to accommodate increased
mountain bicycling use? Or to further meet IMBA Ride Center
criteria? Also, will this parking lot be improved to be used as more
than just a parking lot?
Your comments to this recommendation, "The Old Shooting Range
Restroom Installation project was designed to meet the needs of
current and future users of this trailhead." (?) Again, this statement
does not address my comment or justify the project. What future
needs are you projecting? How would you know this without my
initial suggestion above to conduct a comprehensive

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			 inventory/assessment of the entire trails system? And why would this be a higher priority than other City parks that are used with much higher concentrations at various times throughout the year? Arguably, these trailheads will receive much less use in the winter. Why wouldn't portable toilets serve these trailheads as they do for much higher use parks? 4. I understand the discussion surrounding e-bikes is separate from this focus, however, I believe that the City should very carefully consider the complexities and implications of adding any motorized travel to the mix. 	
10/20/2020	Lisa Bay	lisamaebay@gmail.com	 Thank you for the opportunity to continue a constructive conversation on proposed major projects and the annual workplan for Helena Open Lands. As a member of Helena Hikes Steering Committee, I am concerned about the Davis/Dry Gulch area and the potentially conflicting needs/projects being proposed there. In our initial comments, we suggested that the City convene an on-site meeting so that interested parties could meet with project proponents to more fully understand design elements of the Davis Gulch Bike Course alongside the ADA Trails expansion. From our point of view, it makes most sense to look at these two proposed projects in tandem so that each might go forward without precluding, or impacting the other. These projects also need to be considered in the context of additional facilities proposed for the Old Shooting Range parking lot and increased bike traffic down the gulch. Over the past year, two successful efforts among diverse stakeholders have concluded in collaborative agreements: The City's public involvement process for HOL projects, and an Alternative C for the BLM to consider regarding management of the Scratchgravels. We know there 	The City agrees and would like the working group to look at the ADA trail expansion and bike course, and overall use of the Davis/DeFord Trail, holistically. We'd like to structure the working group as a subgroup of HOLMAC and we can explore the possibility of a third-party facilitator.

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 20 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	are divergent and strong opinions about Davis Gulch, and what ought to	
	happen there. Some oppose any additional bike skills course	
	development on Helena Open Lands. Others strongly support additional	
	skills course development. Walkers, families, and x-country skiers value	
	the DeFord trail for its relatively gentle grade, a unique and limited asset	
	for walkers, older folks and families on a trail system that has mostly	
	more challenging trails. For years, as well, there's been a defacto bike	
	course along the DeFord in Lower Davis that needs to be addressed;	
	either abandoned because of safety and resource damage issues, or	
	developed and maintained.	
	The City's current recommendation to form a working group associated	
	with HOLMAC is a good start. This group would ideally have diverse	
	representation and a neutral facilitator, and concurrently include the	
	consideration of the ADA trail and increased recreational use in Davis	
	Gulch. The committee would ideally be charged with answering the	
	following questions:	
	1. What are the optimal experiences being sought by local user	
	groups in this area?	
	2. How can potential conflicts be addressed through design and	
	signage to potentially provide optimal experiences for each user	
	group, while minimizing impacts on others'?	
	3. In HOLMAC's Mission Statement in the HOL Management Plan is	
	to carry out the Plan to: "create a framework to protect or	
	enhance the natural environment, support management and	
	maintenance practices that protect wildlife and natural habitat,	
	enhance the forest resource, provide for fire mitigation, noxious	
	weed control, erosion control, and native plant preservation. The	
	plan will also allow for wise development of recreational uses	
	that are compatible with the environment and each other, plus	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 21 | 75

serve to enhance the enjoyment of Helena's open space system by Helena's citizens and visitors."
Since this is part of HOLMAC's mission, all proposed projects being considered, including those in Davis Gulch, should be measured against these standards, not just standards in Chapter 7.
There are probably other essential questions to be answered, such as timeframe and facilitation, but the projects and the City would surely benefit from this type of holistic look at Davis Gulch projects.

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	SEPTEMBER 15, 2020 LISTENING SESSION DISCUSSION/COMMENTS				
09.15.2020	Greg and Wendy Wheeler	westernwheelers@man.com	Please direct us to an inventory of the trails the Open Lands Division is responsible for maintaining. We're interested in understanding the Helena Parks, Recreation and Open Lands Department's assessment of the condition of those trails and what trail maintenance and construction is being planned for 2021.	In response to your comments concerning trail condition assessments and maintenance, you can find the 2020 City of Helena's open lands system trail conditions assessment report posted on the City Parks Department website.	
09.16.2020	Pam Aldrich	finsteach@msn.com	 I looked over the proposals for the improved and expanded trailheads for the upcoming year. I agree that several of those areas needs work. However, I am also concerned about the current conditions of our trails. Before we work on the trailheads, I believe we need to make a plan on how to improve our trails. I use the trails daily for biking, hiking, and running. In my opinion, trails needing maintenance work include: the 3 trails going down to the water line trail from Rodney meadows, show me the horse, the bottom part of barking dog, which is really rutted, the trail coming off Mt. Ascension heading to entertainment, and stairway to Heaven. I am not as familiar with the Mt. Helena trails. 	In response to your comments related to the maintenance of City managed trails, the Entertainment Trail is the only trail that you have listed that occurs within the City of Helena's open lands system. Annual maintenance operations were conducted on the Entertainment Trail in the spring of 2020.	
09.16.2020	Paul Cullen	pcullen@helenaschools.org	 I have 5 trail ideas for the Helena area at this time, the first is to link a trail from the bottom of "Hill" trail which joins Grizzly Gulch just south of the Mini Ridge parking lot and build single-track to the sign post on the park city end (south) of the Ridge trail. The second idea is to build a lesser grade trail from park city parking lot to the start of the Ridge trail (at the sign post). Third idea is to add in some progressive wood drop lines to build skills near the directional trails. I.e. a 2 foot drop next to a 4-foot drop, next to a 6-foot drop etc Fourth would be to but in a better parking lot at the top of Arrowroot rd. 	In response to your trail idea proposals, only the Directional Trail and Arrowroot projects you have presented occur on lands managed by the City of Helena. The listening session for Major Project ideas occurred on September 15, 2020. City staff proposed completion of a parking area improvement project at the intersection of Arrowroot Road and the 2006 Trail (Mt Ascension Trailhead). If you are interested in proposing your "Wood Drop Line" project in the fall of 2021, please put a detailed plan together	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 23 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			5 th Build 2 more single-tracks from the ridge trail down to Grizzly gulch	that you bring forward for the next major
			one on either side of show me the horse.	projects public process.
			Thank you for all your consideration and everything you have done to keep are trails Great!	
09.17.2020	Jeff Bradley	jeff@montanabicycleguild.org	Hello,	In response to the Montana Bicycle Guilds (MBG) proposal related to the construction
			The Montana Bicycle Guild would like to propose a new trail from the top of Arrowroot Drive near the intersection of Alpine Meadows Access Drive to the bridge at the bottom of the directional trails. This trail would	of a new trail connecting the Mt Ascension Trailhead (junction Arrowroot Drive/2006 Trail) to the Eddye McClure West Trail, City
			provide a preferred return route for mountain bikers to climb back to parking at the top of Arrowroot. The trail would also intersect with Eddye	staff recommends that MBG map an approximated route for the aforementioned
			McClure West allowing for a more sustainable option than the current jeep track for trail users to return to the top of the directional trail.	trail and devise a method for estimating anticipated volumes of use. The requested background information could potentially be
			There is minimal parking available on Arrowroot Dr where it intersects with the Eddye McClure West and East. Providing an easy path to parking that is off the road will improve safety.	used to provide a more informative proposal during the 2021 Helena Open Lands-Major Projects-Listening Session.
			This trail grade should be as low as the landscape allows to facilitate this intent, and we recommend it be designed to limit speeds for any bike	
			traffic that may choose to descend on the trail. This is often the snowiest part of the HOL landscape, so low grades would also help facilitate winter	
			recreation such as XC skiing or snowshoeing. Grade reversals and other trail features should be built with XC skiing and snowshoeing in mind.	
			Please let us know if you have any questions or would like to discuss this proposal in more detail.	
09.17.2020	Kevin League	<u>kevinleague@gmail.com</u>	Thank you to you and for hosting yesterday's meeting regarding 2021 projects. We have comments we would like to share with you specifically for the DeFord TH proposal.	In response to comments and concerns regarding the Middle DeFord Trailhead expansion project, City staff have decided to delay this project to allow for further

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 24 | 75

	 DeFord Trailhead Proposed Expansion Project - Comments from Kevin	consultation with the City Transportation
	and Cedar League	and Engineering Departments to potentially
	We live at 650 South Davis Street, directly across from the existing	mitigate some of the safety issues involved
	DeFord Trailhead (TH). We observe and interact with DeFord TH users	with having recreationalists back into the
	daily and we believe our observations and comments will provide a	Davis Street traffic lane. However, during
	valuable look at how this parking area operates and provide valuable	the interim period of delay City staff will
	suggestions about its future.	implement your suggestion that signage
		indicating parking opportunities at the Old
	While we have significant safety concerns about the DeFord TH design,	Shooting Range Trailhead be installed at the
	where you must 'nose in' to enter the TH and then back out onto a busy,	DeFord Trailhead. Also, City staff will look
	curvy road to exit, we are hesitant to push back on the proposed	into acquiring some large rocks to
	expansion because there is an obvious need for greater parking capacity	discourage parking in the grassy area
	at this location.	located south of the current DeFord
		Trailhead parking area.
	The primary issue with this style of parking arrangement is the safety	
	issues with backing a vehicle onto an increasingly busy thoroughfare, on a	
	curvy road with short sightlines (similar to the issues raised regarding the	
	proposed Limekiln TH expansion). From a traffic engineering perspective	
	it is a bad design. We did notice a recent traffic counter on Davis, so	
	perhaps you have the data at your fingertips, but over the 6 years we	
	have lived here, traffic has likely doubled on this road, and our neighbors	
	agree. This is due to new housing further up the gulch and increasing	
	numbers of trail users. Speeding is an issue on Davis. We have witnessed	
	many close calls with TH users backing their vehicle out when traffic is	
	busy. We will share a new video with you so that you can get a sense of	
	what the normal level of activity is on a weekend or weekday after work -	
	it gets crazy up here. To safely back out, you need to be able to see up	
	and down Davis. That is why the depth of the parking needs to be	
	adequate enough for drivers to begin backing out, before entering the	
	road, so they can see past the vehicle(s) parked beside them. This is	
	impossible when Vans and other longer RVs use the DeFord TH. They	

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	create visual blockades that make an already dangerous maneuver even	
	more dangerous.	
	We make the following suggestions:	
	Prohibit RVs or vehicles over a certain length from parking at the DeFord	
	TH. Use signage to indicate this. Note - RV and Van use is high, especially	
	in springtime when Gallatin County and other out of town users flood	
	Helena.	
	Constantly provide better enforcement of speeding on Davis. Speeding	
	has been a long time issue here. The police periodically take notice.	
	has been a long time issue here. The police periodically take hotee.	
	Construct the new TH area deep enough to allow good visual access for	
	drivers.	
	View Video of DeFord TH traffic from Thursday August 20, 2020, 7:30 PM	
	https://drive.google.com/file/d/1HoxxHYsM8MLC-uLAKo-	
	AH68is3dVDRjy/view?usp=sharing	
	Another issue is that our driveway empties onto Davis exactly where the	
	TH is located. This has created a lot of conflict over the years and near	
	collisions with folks as they are backing out onto Davis. TH users do not	
	take into account the vehicles that might be coming down our driveway.	
	This recipe of the two lane Davis traffic, driveway traffic and trailhead user traffic, and recreational bike traffic is a recipe for a collision - and we	
	want to avoid this. Therefore, we do not advocate for the small 25-ft	
	expansion of the existing DeFord TH but we do advocate for the use of	
	rocks, the replanting of trees and other native vegetation that Brad	
	mentioned during the presentation. See "EXHIBIT A - K. LEAGUE Map"	
	During peak times of use, the TH is very busy and from our observations	
	and conversations with users, many folks are unaware of additional	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 26 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			Decoder 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Cor	
			parking further up the road. During peak times, when the TH parking is at	
			capacity, some users will park at the bottom of our driveway or pull onto	
			the vegetation or partially off the side of the road because they are	
			unaware of additional parking further up the road.	
			We offer this suggestion: Use signage at each parking area along Davis	
			(Currently there are two and soon three if the third area south of our	
			house is constructed). Signage should indicate that additional parking is	
			ahead - one sign at each location. The sign at the third and to be	
			constructed parking area should indicate that additional parking lies	
			ahead, in ¾ miles at Old Shooting Range TH.	
			Finally, we believe the best way to provide additional capacity for parking	
			in the area is at the Old Shooting Range TH, where adequate and safe off	
			street parking exists and where there is simply more space for future	
			expansion. During peak times, even that large TH is at capacity and we	
			suggest that an expansion of that TH be the focus of addressing this	
			issue. In our opinion, the DeFord TH does not offer the appropriate	
			location where a large, well designed parking area can be built.	
			Let me know if you have any questions or concerns.	
09.17.2020	Derek Sullivan	derekmsullivan@gmail.com	DeFord Parking Area Expansion Project	In response to your comments related to
			I support the expansion of the DeFord Parking Area. The current overflow	Trail Etiquette and Signage, City staff have
			areas are frequently filled with vehicles during peak usage times and	determined that these subjects do not
			vehicles are parking on the grass.	classify as "Major Project", and are
				therefore, subject to attention with the
			Old Shooting Range Restroom Installation Project	Open Lands annual work plan. However, it is
			I support the development of amenities including, but not limited to, a	important to note that many of the trails
			vaulted restroom facility, a kiosk, picnic tables, and pet waste stations.	that you have referenced in your comments
			This is a highly used trailhead to access both the Rodney Ridge area and	(Show Me The Horse, Rent Money, Pinochet,
				Mini-Ridge) occur on lands owned and
	1			min mage/ occur on lands owned and

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 27 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

Mt. Ascension and these developments will ensure folks of all abilities	managed by the USFS. The intermixed
can have a safe, sanitary, and accessible trail experience.	ownership of the South Hills Trail system
	can be somewhat confusing, and although
Limekiln Parking Area Realignment Project	the City maintains constant communication
I support the development of improved parking at the current Limekiln	with the USFS, the only trails located on
Parking Area.	USFS that the City manages are portions of
	the McKelvey, Entertainment and Archery
Mount Ascension Parking Area Expansion Project	Range trails.
I support the development and improvements outlined for the Mount	
Ascension Parking Area.	
Directional Trails Exit Trail	
I support a connector trail from the bridge to the start of Eddye West. For	
additional safety, I would also recommend signage at the top of Eddye	
West/Directional Trail junction that advises downhill Eddye West users of	
the potential presence of uphill mountain bike traffic.	
New Climbing Routes	
I support establishing new climbing routes on Mount Helena.	
ADA Trails Expansion	
I support the improvement of the DeFord trail to ADA standards to offer	
trail options for people of all abilities, especially in concert with the	
proposed Old Shooting Range Project.	
Trail Etiquette and High Trail Usage	
• I support increased signage advising user groups of other user groups	
who utilize trails in a directional manner. For example, I would	
support signage at the bottom of Show Me The Horse Trail advising	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 28 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

uphill hikers that this is a popular downhill mountain bike trail and to	
be aware of these users as they are ascending, and to remove	
headphones and leash dogs to increase the safety of hikers, bikers,	
and pets. Suggested alternate routes, such as ascending Mini-ridge,	
Dump Gulch, or Diretissima could be included.	
 I would advise similar signage at the bottom of Rent Money trail, 	
especially since this trail is built with bikers in mind and many users	
choose to hike or bike up this trail. Suggested alternate routes, such	
as ascending Pinochet, or Davis/Dry Gulch Roads could be included.	
 I would advise similar signage at the bottom of Entertainment Trail 	
where it meets both Archery Range and Davis Gulch, indicating that	
this is a popular downhill mountain biking route, and hikers should be	
aware of these users and remove headphones and leash dogs for the	
safety of all users. Suggested alternate routes, such as ascending from	
Eagle Scout, could be included.	
 I do <u>not</u> support the use of limited City funds to address perceived 	
issues related to "speed, high marking, social trails, e-bikes, [and]	
etiquette. I will address each of these concerns individually as follows:	
 Speed is subjective, and this comment is likely singling out the 	
mountain bike user group as I cannot imagine that Ms. Clifford	
would be interested in a speed limit for hikers, runners, or	
equestrian users. To be clear, mountain bikers do not ride faster	
than they can control or see. Mountain bikes are lightweight	
and equipped with very powerful braking systems. Mountain	
bikers will not ride faster than they can stop simply because the	
mountain bike user does not want to hurt themselves or others.	
While seeing a mountain biker riding at high speeds may be	
startling to another user (especially if headphones are used by the other user), mountain bikers can slow their speeds very	
quickly upon seeing another user to prevent conflict.	
Furthermore, mountain bikes are not equipped with	
i urtiennore, mountain bikes are not equipped with	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 29 | 75

speedometers, so any arbitrary "speed limits" set could not be	
followed, and there are no "trail police" with radar guns	
patrolling the trails to enforce said "speed limit". The perceived	
speed of the rider would therefore be subjective to both the	
rider and the observer, unenforceable, and lead to greater	
arguments among user groups.	
 It is not totally clear what Ms. Clifford is referring to as "high 	
marking", but I would have to assume this comment is related	
to trail users who choose to utilize the entire trail bed, and not	
just walk or ride down the centerline of the trail. The idea of	
"trail permanence", in which the trail bed must (under all	
circumstances) remain the same indefinitely, is counter to the	
very notion of the "natural world". I think we can all agree that	
the Earth is constantly changing. These very mountains that we	
choose to recreate within have been formed over millions of	
years by tectonic action and erosive forces. The trail bed that	
was decided upon the day it was dug may change over time as	
users utilize the trail and walk or ride slightly off-center of the	
bed. The trail will evolve with use and grow to reflect the	
preferred path within the trail corridor itself. Spending limited	
City funds to attempt to counteract natural forces in a natural	
environment that have occurred for millions of years is not	
responsible. The fallacy of "trail permanence" is the real issue,	
not the natural world evolving.	
 As with the previous bullet, it is not totally clear what Ms. 	
Clifford is referring to as "social trails". If I assume Ms. Clifford is	
referring to so-called "unofficial" trails, then the same logic	
from the previous bullet would apply. These are natural areas	
subject to constant change. Furthermore, hikers frequently hike	
off trail along game paths, cut switchbacks, or travel overland	

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 15, 2020 Listening Session comments					
	on foot in the pursuit of game. It is not reasonable to expect the				
	City to expend limited funding to attempt to police the actions				
	of these parties or the wild game that may influence so-called				
	"social trails".				
	 The City should not expend resources on a perceived problem 				
	such as whether e-bikes are allowed to utilize the trail system.				
	E-bikes can be a useful tool for older folks or those with				
	disabilities who wish to utilize the trail system. Restricting e-				
	bikes would therefore be limiting the trail system to only those				
	users in peak physical condition, and directly discriminating				
	against differently-abled users.				
	It is not clear what Ms. Clifford is referring to when she speaks				
	of an agreement on etiquette. Better signage that educates				
	user groups on popular riding/hiking directions could help				
	prevent trail conflicts. Based on the rest of Ms. Clifford's				
	comments, this is likely directed at mountain bike users. While				
	there are always a few bad apples, hikers need to recreate on				
	the trails responsibly too, including being aware of their				
	surroundings, leashing their dogs appropriately, and not				
	wearing headphones which limit their awareness. Many times, I				
	have come up behind a hiker with headphones who is then				
	startled to realize that there is a biker behind them. If they had				
	been aware of their surroundings, they would have heard my				
	repeated "hellos" a lot sooner and would have avoided being				
	startled and becoming angry at me as if I did something wrong.				
	Etiquette is a two-way street. As someone who also hikes, I				
	always remain aware of my surroundings and would expect the				
	same of others. Please do not allocate any City resources to this				
	issue of personal responsibility.				

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			Archery Range Trail Options	
			I support any addition of trails to this area.	
			Davis Gulch Bike Course	
			I support all proposed improvements included, especially in regards to	
			the previously proposed ADA Trail Expansion of the DeFord Trail.	
			Additional signage and separation improvements means that both user	
			groups could utilize this area safely.	
			Dump Gulch Project	
			I support all proposed actions in this section, especially in regards to trail	
			assessments and outdated trail design and the resulting impacts.	
			Proposal for ADA-Compliant Trail on Mt. Helena	
			I fully support the expansion of trail options for those differently-abled.	
			Trails should be available for all users.	
09.17.2020	William Cook	<u>reho1951@yahoo.com</u>	Hello, I wanted to pass on my comments on the Proposed Major Projects	In response to your comments associated
			for 2021 for the Open Lands Division. Thanks for this opportunity to	with trail etiquette and trail usage, City staff
			comment.	have determined that these topics do not
			I am a very active hiker who hikes the South Hills trail network about 200	meet the definition of "Major Projects", but rather, are items that warrant attention
			days per year. I am generally in support of each of the proposed projects	during the development and
			on the list. To highlight some of the proposals which I particularly favor:	implementation of the City's annual Open
			on the list. To highlight some of the proposals which i particularly lavor.	Lands work plan.
			Limekiln parking area realignment project: Occasionally I drive to the	
			Limekiln trailhead, and park in the tiny spots right next to the	
			trailhead. Sometimes those spots are filled, so I have to park instead at	
			the other parking area next to the water tank gate. I strongly favor the	
			proposed expansion of that parking area, because it will provide more	
			parking spots.	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 32 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			clober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Col	
			Trail Etiquette and high trail usage project: I very strongly support this	
			project. Although actual conflicts are rare among trail users in the South	
			Hills, the significant increase in the number of users is beginning to make	
			interaction among users more stressful. As a hiker, I find that 99 percent	
			of mountain bikers and 75 percent of trail runners are respectful and	
			courteous. But the sheer numbers of bikers and runners, especially on	
			weekends and in the summer, are beginning to impact hikers. It's one	
			thing to step off the trail (to yield to bikers or runners) 20 times during a	
			hike. It's quite another thing to step off the trail 30 or 40 times during a	
			hike. Also, the possibility of having e-bikes on the South Hills trails is very	
			concerning. Speed is already a problem. Anything that enables bikers to	
			move faster on the trails will lead to conflicts and increase the risk of	
			collision. As a hiker, I simply do not want to be on the same trail with an	
			e-bike. Finally, I was glad to see this project description mention the	
			issue of "music on the trails." This summer, I encountered quite a few	
			trail users who were broadcasting radio shows or recorded music while	
			on the South Hills trails. That negatively impacts my experience as a trail	
			user. I do believe that a collaborative group representing trail users can	
			work out ways to deal with these issues. One thing to consider would be	
			a "trail steward" program in which some bikers, runners and hikers are	
			trained to spread the word about trail etiquette, safety and Leave No	
			Trace principles. The Forest Service has wilderness steward programs in	
			areas with high trail users, and I was a wilderness steward for the Mount	
			Hood National Forest for several years. Those programs could serve as a	
			model. Thanks for listening.	
09.21.2020	Bradly Maddock	bradly.maddock@gmail.com	The comments below are submitted by Bradly Maddock of 519 1st St,	Thank you for taking time to submit your
			Helena, MT 59601.	comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			1. I comment in favor of all parking area and trailhead projects	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			proposed by the City of Helena.	
			2. I comment in favor of Eric Grove's exit trail proposal	
			3. I comment in favor of the Mount Helena Rock Climbing area	
			expansion	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 33 | 75

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

		4. I comment in favor of making the entire length of the DeFord trail	
		ADA compliant as well as Tony Zammit's ADA trail on Mt. Helena	
		5. I comment in favor of Matt Culpo's Davis Gulch Bike Course	
		proposal. I would like to add that I think this could be a really	
		good location to add in a short dual slalom course if funding can	
		be secured for its construction. See example	
		here: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N5wnc_ic_PM</u> (our	
		course would be shorter).	
		6. I comment in favor of Eric Sivers project recommendations.	
		Thank you and please reach out if you have any questions.	
Gregory Zeihen	gzeihen@msn.com	I support the Helena Climbing Coalition's proposal to add 15 more	Thank you for taking time to submit your
		climbing routes to Mt. Helena over the next two years. As per their	comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
		proposal these routes will meet certain safety standards and will be	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
		installed and maintained by Helena Climbers Coalition members.	
Colton Harris	coltonharris28@gmail.com	RE: Rock Climbing / Hey, my friends and I really enjoy the current	Thank you for taking time to submit your
		climbing routes on Mt. Helena and would love to see more added! We	comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
		definitely support the proposal to expand the climbing area up there!	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
Lynn Hermes	hermeslynn@yahoo.com	RE: Rock Climbing / I support more routes at Mt Helena thanks	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
Luke Michelson	Imichelson1@yahoo.com	Hello,	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
		I'm copy and pasting my friend Dan Bachen's comments in this email	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
		because he has outlined my thoughts so succinctly. Although these are	
		his comments, this is exactly how I feel and, for these reasons I support	
		more development of more climbing routes on MT Helena. I have seen	
		the area grow in popularity over the last 2 years and have enjoyed it	
		myself highly although there is room for needed development.	
		I support this proposal because:	
		1. Having an established rock-climbing area within city limits is a	
		wonderful resource for the city.	
	Colton Harris Lynn Hermes	Colton Harris coltonharris28@gmail.com Lynn Hermes hermeslynn@yahoo.com	5. I comment in favor of Matt Culpo's Davis Gulch Bike Course proposal. I would like to add that I think this could be a really good location to add in a short dual slalom course if funding can be secured for its construction. See example here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NSwnc ic PM (our course would be shorter).Gregory Zeihengzeihen@msn.comI support the Helena Climbing Coalition's proposal to add 15 more climbing routes to Mt. Helena over the next two years. As per their proposal these routes will meet certain safety standards and will be installed and maintained by Helena Climbers Coalition members.Colton Harriscoltonharris28@gmail.comRE: Rock Climbing / Hey, my friends and I really enjoy the current climbing routes on Mt. Helena and would love to see more added! We

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 34 | 75

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

r	I	September 15, 2020, 00	ctober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Cor	linents
			 a. Sunset slab around half of the routes are developed is one of the best beginner areas in our area b. As it is convenient for those visiting Helena, interest from out of town climbers is high. The crags are even used to promote tourism to Helena. c. One of the most accessible areas and close enough to climb at after work. 2. Need to increase the number of routes because a. To date much of the cliff line has not been developed and the potential for many new routes exists. The city should take advantage of this to increase recreation opportunities. Relative to other outdoor activities such as hiking, biking, and even Frisbee golf, areas to climb on city of Helena lands are extremely limited, and the city should take advantage of the opportunity to increase climbing on Mt. Helena. b. Increasing the number of routes provides more options for climbers and reduces crowding c. As climbing grows more popular, more areas and routes are needed to spread climbers out and prevent over use of all areas Your support for this project has been greatly appreciated, and I look forward to the possibility of being involved with more route development. Thank you. 	
09.22.2020	Ellen Fever	<u>efeaver@outlook.com</u>	I am writing in support of the trail proposed by the Rotary Club of Helena. This accessible, gentle sloped trail would provide a means for people of all physical abilities to enjoy the magnificent views and outdoor experiences of our wonderful city park. Based on the use that the flat 10 Mile trails have received, if this trail is built, it will be used. All spring and summer the flat trails at 10 mile hosted the elderly, folks with mobility issues, little children, and everyone in between. No matter the time of day, people are using these flat trails. Our trail system in the	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 35 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			south hills has nothing like the ADA Compliant Trail being	
			proposed. Building this trail would be a gift to our community and	
			especially to a segment of our community that is currently underserved	
			by our terrific trail system.	
			The Rotary Club of Helena is 100% behind this project and will assist with person power and fundraising to make the trail a reality. We have a grant we received this year that needs to be used for this project as soon as possible. If the project is approved, we can go ahead and purchase	
			materials with grant funds.	
			I hope you will see the benefits of this project for our community and approve it to move forward.	
09.22.2020	Terry Cowan	tcowan@coldwaterengineering.	I wanted to extend my thanks to your group in allowing the previous rock	Thank you for taking time to submit your
		<u>com</u>	climbing route development on Mount Helena. Mount Helena being a	comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			city park with so many varied uses truly is a treasure that gives Helena	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			even more appeal. This rock climbing area opens up climbing to a	
			broader group of aspiring and seasoned climbers then the other areas	
			outside of town which cannot be so easily accessed. Please consider the	
			proposal to set additional routes. Thanks again.	
09.22.2020	Kyle Perkins	kylekperkins@gmail.com	To whom it may concern:	Thank you for taking time to submit your
			I wish to express my support and appreciation for the Helena Climbers	comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			Coalition and their efforts to provide rock climbing opportunities on	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			Mount Helena. The routes developed there so far have been an	
			incredible asset to recreation in our community, and I support their	
			proposal to expand rock climbing areas. Thank you for your support.	
09.22.2020	Jane Amdahl	Jane b a@hotmail.com	As a member of the Rotary Club of Helena as well as a member of AARP	Thank you for taking time to submit your
			Montana's Executive Council, I heartily support the creation of the	comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			proposed ADA compliant trail project. It would be great if people who	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			are not as able-bodied as the rest of us could have a manageable way to	
			are not as able bouled as the rest of as could have a manageable way to	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 36 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			to be confined many people with mobility issues face this their whole	
			lives. Such a trail would be an excellent way to expand their	
			horizons. Please approve it!	
09.22.2020	Steve Ahlrich	steveA0115@msn.com	Whom It May Concern,	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			I am writing in support of the proposal by the Helena Climber's Coalition	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			to add climbing routes on Mount Helena. I am a climber, and occasionally	
			climb at Mount Helena. It is great having a local community climbing area	
			where climbers can meet for a few hours and enjoy their passion.	
			Additional climbs would be helpful in spreading climbers out and	
			providing a wider variety of climbs. This proposal will benefit both	
			climbers and local area businesses.	
09.23.2020	Chase Clausen	chaseclausen83@gmail.com	To Whom It May Concern,	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			I am a Helena resident and I fully support the proposal to expand rock	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			climbing at Mount Helena. I am an avid climber and greatly appreciate	
			having some amazing climbing so close to home. One of my favorite	
			things about Helena is the fantastic outdoor opportunities that are within	
			walking/biking distance of where I live. We are blessed to have good	
			climbing limestone so close to town and should develop it fully. The	
			Helena Climber's Coalition has done a great job with the existing trails	
			and routes and I am confident that they will do an excellent job with the	
			expansion. Thank you for your time and consideration.	
09.24.2020	Dennis McChon	galumphant22@gmail.com	As a frequent hiker in the open-lands, I want to thank you for the	In response to your comments concerning
			complete report on what you heard at the listening session. I	the City's proposed parking area
			couldn't take part (I'm not set up for ZOOM) but It's good to know what	improvement projects, City staff would like
			happened. Again, I appreciate it.	to emphasis that the areas slated for
				improvement are currently experiencing
			I do have a few comments though. I'd have liked to have seen a little less	functional issues related to recreational
			emphasis on parking lots, and a little more on pedestrian connections	users who are choosing to access the City's
			between town and open-lands. Are all trailheads to be identified with	open lands system in a vehicle. Legal, public
			parking lots from now on? I still favor dispersed access a lot of low	access points, by definition, provide the

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 37 | 75

volume trailheads all along the interface, rather than just a few high-	public with a right to access public lands at a
volume ones. That classic, pedestrian-permeable "edge-of-town"	given location with by an approved mode of
interface between older Helena and the open-lands is a rare thing	transport. In the instance of the Old
nowadays worthy of study, preservation and extension. It can be done	Shooting Range, Limekiln Road, and
without hurting the resource.	Arrowroot/2006 public access points,
	members of the public are allowed to
Along that line, I hope that planning for trails and pedestrian access in	operate motor vehicles. Therefore, the City
those new additions at the northwest corner of Mount Helena Park (the	Parks Department is responsible for
"Whyte" parcel and the other one pending) will be open and transparent.	managing the public use and associated
	needs at the aforementioned locations. The
The Helena open-lands will now apparently adjoin State land and more	trailhead improvement projects proposed
Forest Service land, which would seem to suggest a possibility for inter-	by City staff for implementation in 2021
agency trail planning. That would be great. I'm sure the mountain-bike	have been specifically designed to address
lobby is interested, but I hope that every effort will be made to involve	documented, functional deficiencies that are
pedestrians as well. That's a fun area to hike and hikers and bikers	currently being experienced at each given
don't necessarily want the same thing.	location.
So, what's in mind for trails up there? What about trailheads? What'll	At present, with the exception of forestry
happen with that closed section of LeGrande, especially as land just north	and weed management activities, the City
of that section is developed? I trust you'll keep on letting us	has not developed trail or trailhead
know. Again, thanks much.	management plans for the west Le Grande
	Cannon area. Future trail and trailhead
	planning for the west Le Grande Cannon
	area will involve public participation as the
	City enters a more active phase of
	management. At present, City staff
	members are in the process of observing
	public use patterns, monitoring residential
	development activities, and researching
	options for the west Le Grande Cannon area.
	options for the west Le Granue Callion alea.

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

09.25.2020	Matt Goudreau	matt.goudreau@gmail.com	Hello!	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			I wanted to show my support to further developing climbing on Mt	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			Helena by HCC. Mt Helena provides prime rock for further routes and	
			HCC has done a great job thus far discreetly developing the existing	
			area. Consciously providing more outdoor activities makes Helena a	
			better place to live and visit and HCC is one of the great local	
			organizations to do it!	
09.26.2020	Christine	cmdeveny7@gmail.com	As a daily hiker in Helena's open space, I appreciated the opportunity to	In response to your comments related to the
	Deveny		comment on the City and HOLMAC's proposed open lands projects for	need for trail data collection, trail
			2021.	restoration/elimination, and trail user
				conflict resolution, these subjects were
			I believe that 2021 would be an excellent time for the City to step back	addressed in the City's 2020 Open Lands
			and take care of our current trail system before embarking on any new	Work Plan which is currently in the
			projects. First off, the City needs to collect accurate data about our	implementation phase. Future City open
			existing trail system – we don't have this now. Then the City needs to	lands system work plans will be designed to
			identify and prioritize those many places and areas where trails require	continue to bring management to the trail
			restoration, rehabilitation, or elimination in order to reduce natural	related items that you have identified.
			resource damage and user conflicts. Funds should then be allocated for	
			that work. As the work is being completed the city should review and	
			develop policies that will prevent or reduce future resource damage on	
			these local public lands. Only until this work is complete should the city	
			consider moving forward with any new projects. New project	
			development before this restoration/rehabilitation work is done will only	
			exacerbate existing problem areas by attracting more users. Please use	
			2021 as the opportune time to make our trail system the best it can be.	
09.28.2020	Michele	michele.na.mt@gmail.com	I appreciated the opportunity to attend the Zoom HOLMAC listening	The following list highlights the City's
	Webster		session on 9/15/20 and am submitting my initial thoughts. As this	response to your comments:
			process goes forward, more information and conversations might provide	1. (History – Lower Dry Gulch Bike Skills
			insights. So, it is possible these initial thoughts will change. I look	Course) The construction of the Lower
			forward to being a part of the conversation as we all work together for	Dry Gulch Bike Skills Course was not
			the benefit of our Helena Open Lands.	officially sanctioned by the City of

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 39 | 75

Helena Open Lands Major Projects Consolidated Comment associated with September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

I		
		Helena Parks Department. However,
	Here are my thoughts as of 9/28/20	HOLMAC has received two proposals
	ADA Trail Expansion (MB)- I support this plan. As a senior citizen with	for improvement of the Lower Dry
	occasional muscular-skeletal injuries, I realize the importance of having	Gulch Bike Skills course, both of which
	trails accessible to me as I age. I also support increased opportunities for	were recommended for
	people with mobility challenges to have recreational opportunities in our	implementation should funding be
	open spaces that accommodate their disabilities. This appears to be a	obtained.
	trail where the existing features will not require significant change to	2. (Removal – Lower Dry Gulch Bike
	make these accommodations. Since trail density is an issue, it is better to	Skills Course) In the 2019 Open Lands
	work with existing trails rather than scar up more of the open land. This	Work Plan formation process, City
	project fits with goals of the Chapter 7 Recreational Plan to increase	staff recommended removal of the
	community access and support. (1.1c) We have roughly 40 miles of trails	existing bike jumps in the Lower Dry
	in our Helena Open Lands. Setting aside 1 ½ miles of trails for people	Gulch Bike Skills Course. Considerable
	with mobility challenges where they can expect a safe recreational	public opposition to the removal of
	experience is a start in the right direction.	the aforementioned bike jumps
		resulted in no action regarding their
	Davis Gulch Bike Course/DeFord Multi Use-Trails (MC)-The Lower Davis	removal.
	Gulch Bike Course Trails include an area that the mountain bikers call the	3. (Upper Dry Gulch Bike Skills Course)
	Lower Davis Jump Line (per Trailforks app). It is an eyesore! What is the	The Upper Dry Gulch Bike Skills
	history of this project? Did the development of this bike park go through	Course was a City Parks Department
	an appropriate approval process or is this a rogue trail area? It appears	sanctioned project that was
	they are now trying to "formalize" the legality of the project. We need to	implemented by Prickly Pear Land
	seriously consider decommissioning this area and returning to its natural	Trust over a decade ago. The Upper
	state. This would be essential if the decision to go forward with an ADA	Dry Gulch Bike facility and its
	trail at DeFord. Also, the mountain bikers already have two formalized	associated signage is annually
	bike parks. The Upper Davis Gulch Bike Skills Course also shows a	maintained and inspected.
	destruction of our landscape. It does not appear to represent the goal of	4. (Dump Gulch Proposal) Please
	Stewardship of our public lands. All citizens are encouraged to walk	reference the City's Major Project
	south on DeFord from the Old Shooting Range Trailhead to the	Recommendation Document.
	intersection of the road and then walk down the bike course trail. (Best	5. (Mount Ascension Parking) Please
	to do this during low traffic times and be ready for speeding bikes. Taking	reference the City's Major Project
	a walking stick is encouraged.) Hiking this 1-mile loop is essential to	Recommendation Document.

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 40 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

I	September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Con	
	understanding the issues currently facing our trails. Bike courses that	6. (Old Shooting Range Project) Please
	focus on thrills and skill development belong elsewhere, such as an	reference the City's Major Project
	industrial park or ski area.	Recommendation Document.
		7. (2006 Reroute) Please reference the
	It has been well-established and documented that the modus operandi of	City's Major Project Recommendation
	the bikers to build trails nationally on our public lands without approval,	Document.
	due to no procedure in place or their blatant disregard for the	8. (System Wide Trail Assessment) Not
	process. They appear to assume that once the trails are in place, they	identified as a "Major Project" by City
	will remain. This assumption needs to be challenged.	staff.
		9. (HOLMAC) HOLMAC members are
	Dump Gulch Project (ES)- I oppose this project. There is no data that	approved by the Helena City
	supports the need for such a project. When we move forward with	Commission.
	single-use trails, there should be documented evidence of need. This is	10. (PPLT Inventory) The Montana Bicycle
	driven by the mountain bikers and the Ridge Trail is extremely popular	Guild and City staff are responsible
	with them. The Trail Rider drops them off at the Trailhead and then they	for the maintenance of the
	can ride into town and stop at a brewery, per their advertisements. One	Directional Trail. Removal of the
	would assume that if they pick up the Trail Rider downtown, they will	lumber residue associated with the
	mostly want to return to downtown to load their bikes in their vehicles	construction of the wooden turn is on
	(or maybe they ride home when they exit the trails?).	the City's project list. During the 2020
		spring/summer field season the
	All decisions to move away from multi-use trails must be considered on a	Directional Trail received its second
	case-by-case basis. At times, it will be the best option. When it is driven	grass seed application combined with
	by the mountain bikers, we really need to look at their motive. Their	a follow-up string trimming of weeds
	single-use trails allow them to race on trails without having to slow down	occurring adjacent to the trail.
	for hikers. With trail density an issue, is this something we want to	. .
	encourage?	
	Eric Sivers stated in his proposal says the bikers need a "better way" to	
	descend from the Ridge Trail. He acknowledges there are already five	
	ways to exit the trail and get back into town. Why the need for another	
	one? While we can only guess the motivation, it appears it goes back to	
	requirements of being an IMBA Ride Center. They dictate the quality of	
	requirements of sening an integrative center. They alcute the duality of	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 41 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

our trails and trail amenities. There was never a community wide
conversation and mandate to have our community become an IMBA Ride
Center and we should not have a national organization drive decision
regarding our HOL.
An old map of the South Hills Trails. copyright in 2008 and prepared by
PPLT, shows on the back all the organizations administering the trails and
lands. It also noted "With assistance from IMBA." This is a big
deal!!! Most of us hikers in 2008 had no clue what decisions about our
Helena Open Lands were being made behind closed doors. When
comparing the trail density of 2008 with the trail density of 2020, the
results are astonishing! Unfortunately, this growth was not organic
based on need. It was based on desire by a special-interest group
without regard for the needs of all members of our community.
Lime Kiln Parking Area Realignment Project (BL)-While there are more
pressing problems currently, I am familiar with that area and agree that it
is a dangerous parking lot. The cost is relatively small and might be
warranted. People either must back in or back out of the parking lot right
now. While traffic on Arrowroot Rd is minimal, it is still dangerous.
Mount Ascension Parking Area Expansion Project (BL)-This should be
placed on the backburner because there are more pressing needs. It
appears the driving force for this is a desire for Bike Helena and MBG to
have a larger area to allow the Trail Rider to turn around more easily for
the trip back into town. Parking currently is adequate.
Old Shooting Range Restroom Installation Project (BL)-I generally oppose
this because I think it is an attempt by the mountain bikers to increase
trailhead amenities to meet IMBA Ride Center criteria. Also, there are
rumors of plans for bikers to turn this into an event center and a
campground. It would be nice to get more information to either confirm

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 42 | 75

Clober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments
or deny these rumors. When people opposing the Beattie Street
Trailhead expansion and vaulted toilet suggested this location as an
alternative for the vaulted toilet, city staff said it would not work due to
concerns about vandalism. One would assume vandalism would still be a
concern.
We need to first address the lack of appropriate restroom facilities in
some of our City Parks. Why is improving trailhead amenities given a
priority? Our Open Lands are seeing increased use, but many people
recreate at our City parks where restroom facilities are inadequate.
If the proposal for an ADA-accessible trail on DeFord goes forward, it
would then make sense to have an ADA-accessible vault toilet and other
accessible amenities. Otherwise, this should not be a priority.
Reroute 2006 Trail Above Arrowroot Drive (ES)- I probably oppose this
project as this appears to be another attempt by the mountain bikers to
get the trails up to their expectations. Hikers probably do not have a
need to reduce grade. If a portion is steeper, you just work a little
harder. You do not have a need to get out there with your tools to
reshape the terrain. I have hiked this area and it did not bother me.
Rerouting Portion of Trail above Beattie (NK)-I checked this area out on
9/20/20. It appears to me the re-routing has already occurred. I do
recall seeing PPLT was looking for volunteers to build the new trail prior
to the opening of the new Beattie Street Trailhead. Are they now going
back to obtain permission for work they already completed or is there
another re-route plan in place? The former trail section that is currently
blocked would benefit from some improvements to make it more
aesthetically pleasing.

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	cober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Co	
	System -Wide Trail Assessment (ES)- Because Eric Sivers will have vastly	
	different criteria about what is needed in a trail assessment, it will be	
	essential that other user groups have a strong presence when	
	determining what will be assessed. We need to be sure that we do not	
	let IMBA dictate design and what is needed for a "Quality Trail	
	Experience" or to meet the requirements of IMBA Ride Center	
	certification. There has never been a community discussion as to	
	whether we want our community to become a mountain biking mecca.	
	Also, we need to place greater focus on trail density and stewardship of	
	lands.	
	We need a moratorium on all new major projects until this system-wide	
	assessment is completed. We should not add more trails, build more	
	trailhead amenities, or conduct re-routes until this is done.	
	It is important that the assessment be conducted by a neutral	
	entity. How else can we be assured the needs of all user groups are	
	adequately represented? Eric Sivers should not be able to establish	
	assessment criteria because he represents a special-interest group. PPLT	
	and Brad Langsather are not neutral entities, as evidenced by their	
	history of supporting the mountain biker's agenda.	
	We are experiencing high trail usage, and this should be quantified as to	
	type and frequency. An inventory should also include assessment of	
	wildlife activity and habitat. We need detailed data to inform decision	
	making. Decisions must be made on quantified need rather than desire	
	of some to design our trail system for their pleasure.	
	HOLMAC- The Chapter 7 Recreational Plan stated review of HOLMAC	
	membership was outside the scope of the plan. Did the city ever	
	complete or start their review of HOLMAC? They said they would be	
	reviewing all Advisory Boards. HOLMAC appears it is still heavily	
	controlled by people who support the mountain biking/tourism	
	controlled by people who support the mountain biking/tourisin	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 44 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			agenda. Also, they could use reminders about publishing their meeting minutes. I recently checked and found the Agendas for 3/20 and 8/20, but no meeting minutes. Did they meet? Where are the minutes?	
			PPLT Inventory-I hiked one of the Directional Trails this summer with a friend. We found many noxious weeds along the trail. We also noted they did not remove their lumbar debris from the construction of the jump and berm. The debris was behind the constructed pieces. PPLT should inspect this area annually and cannot assume that MBG will keep everything up to standard. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on these initial proposals.	
09.28.2020	Bob Goodwyn	ragoodwyn@gmail.com	I love the proposal for new climbing routes on Mt Helena! As a young Helena resident, it's why we live here and why we love Helena. Thanks for your time!	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
09.28.2020	Keaton Blair	kblair@carroll.edu	 To whom it may concern, I wholeheartedly support the following proposed projects: DeFord Parking Area Expansion Project Mount Ascension Parking Area Expansion Project Directional Trails Exit Trail New Climbing Routes ADA Trails Expansion Davis Gulch Bike Course Dump Gulch Project Proposal for ADA-Compliant Trail on Mt. Helena As an outdoor enthusiast, I spend much of my time enjoying the wonderful biking and climbing in our beautiful city, and I think that the continued maintenance and improvement of our outdoor recreation options should be a priority for the city of Helena. I believe that all of the above proposals would contribute significantly to this goal.	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 45 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			Thank you for considering my opinion.	
09.28.2020	Barb and Tim Wetherill	info@stonetreeclimbing.com	Hello, I am sending an email in support of the proposed Mt. Helena bolting expansion proposal. As the owners of Stonetree Climbing Center, we are excited to see our indoor climbers transition to outdoor climbing. Having bolted routes so close to town is quite special. We hope that you are considering the development of more bolted routes on Mt. Helena. Thank you.	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
09.29.2020	Matthew Sauer	matthew.sauer@mso.umt.edu	Hello, My name is Matthew Sauer and I've been living in Helena this summer and spending quite a bit of time recreating at Mt Helena. I would like to send my support for the project to develop more climbing routes in the park! Not only are these routes an amazing resource for local climbers, I also met many groups of people from out of town while recreating there. This project would add even more draw for folks out of town to view Helena as a climbing destination. Being that the park is only minutes from downtown encourages people to contribute to local business. The proposed project to increase the number of routes on the cliff is quite necessary to decrease crowding on the popular routes, and to give locals another set of routes to challenge themselves on. While there is adequate climbing around the city of Helena, most places are around 45 minutes to an hour drive away from town; with the exception of Mt Helena. Allowing for more climbing development in the park would provide a great resource for climbers within city limits. Allowing for people to meet up after work and enjoy the natural beauty of Helena. I fully support this proposal and thank you for considering further development of this already fantastic resource. Thank you.	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
09.29.2020	Meg Bishop		 Before considering the additional of any more trails, as suggested by Ken Morrison and others, I feel that conducting an inventory/assessment of the current trail system is needed to better 	The following list outlines City staff response to your corresponding comments: 1. (Trail Inventory/Assessment) A trail condition/signage inventory was

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 46 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Con	
	inform future decisions and provide an adaptive management	completed as part of the Parks
	approach.	Departments 2020 Open Lands
		Division Work Plan.
	a. What are the overall objectives of Helena's trail system?	2. (Proposed De Ford ADA Trail) Please
	b. What is the optimal trail density for this area considering	reference the City's Major Project
	resource issues and those surrounding "quality trail	Recommendation Document.
	experiences" for the various users?	3. (Old Shooting Range Restroom
	c. Is it time to put a "cap" on the addition of new trails?	Installation Project) The City Parks
	d. Is the trail system meeting the needs of the variety of users?	Department seasonally provides
	e. How has trail usage changed since the last study was	portable restrooms at Lockey and
	conducted?	Centennial Park and manages a
	f. If there is a change in how trails are used, what is the reason?	permanent restroom at Memorial
	g. Have some types of uses been displaced by others? If so, why?	Park during the summer season.
	h. How successful is the multiple-use concept to date? Do	During the winter period, the City
	changes need to be considered?	Parks Department provides a
	i. What are the conditions of existing trails? Weeds? Erosion?	portable restroom at Memorial
	PPLT's inventory is incomplete. A more comprehensive	Park. The Old Shooting Range
	approach is needed.	Restroom Installation project was
	j. How have specialized bike courses impacted trails? How can	designed to meet the needs of current and future users of this
	these impacts be minimized or prevented?	
	k. Are City open lands the appropriate location for bike courses	trailhead.
	and skills trails? Given the proximity to town and the variety of	
	users, is this the best use of these front-country trails? Or has a	
	decision been made to create pockets of "sacrifice areas" to	
	accommodate a single use?	
	The proximity of Helena's trail system to town is, arguably, one of	
	Helena's biggest assets. The beauty of the landscape and vistas is	
	promoted nationally (and internationally), as is the trail experience. It	
	also adds considerably to the quality of life of Helena residents.	
	Ensuring sustainable trail usage is essential to maintaining the health	
	of the landscape. Management that minimizes trail degradation,	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 47 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

erosion, and the advance of weeds, as well as restoring existing
degraded areas, should be a priority.
Bike courses and skills trails are not appropriate activities on our multiple-use trail system for reasons surrounding safety and sustainable trails. Many communities throughout the country have realized the implications of these kinds of activities and made necessary changes.
I recently had a long conversation with Travis Campbell, one of the owners of Great Divide Ski Area. They are currently in the process of planning a bike resort up at the ski area. If not for COVID, they would have started last spring. They will be constructing a network of trails using consultants that will design technical trails with safety and risk in mind. They are currently speaking with a consultant who designed the bike trails on Mt. Whistler. Travis said they will, hopefully, begin the process spring 2021.
The ski area is the appropriate place for bike courses and skills trails, as well as the Enduro Race. And liability is not an issue for them. I know there is a certain panache to holding an Enduro Race in town, while most of the other races in the series are held at ski areas. However, is it really the most appropriate venue?
I understand that expanding mountain biking activities on City open lands is desirable for reasons of convenience, and because it is free. However, it is time to ensure a balance for our open lands, and to head off potential future issues. The ski area provides great opportunities for those who want to hone their skills and thrills. I also understand the interest in expanding tourism possibilities to enhance economic development. A counter to this approach are many communities that have made a priority of creating livable

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 48 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

ictober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments
communities that enhance quality of life for their residents. In these
communities, economic development became an organic outgrowth
of this strategy, attracting many types of new residents and avoiding
the trap of relying on tourism for its sustainability.
2. (My Proposal) Creating an ADA-compliant, wheelchair accessible trail
on DeFord Trail (Upper and Lower). There are few low-gradient trails
in the system. This is an opportunity that would benefit folks in our
community with disabilities and/or limited mobility, whether it is
temporary or permanent. The City trail system should inclusively
consider the needs of the entire community. And, often, those with
disabilities appreciate the opportunity to travel extended distances
and not be confined to short trails or picnic tables.
and not be commed to short trais of plene tables.
The total distance for this trail would be approximately 1.5 miles.
There will need to be consideration of connecting the Upper and
o 11
Lower segments in a more seamless way. We are hoping this project
serves as the start of a broader effort to enhance the livability of our
community for everyone. I have discussed this proposal with various
representatives of groups advocating for persons with disabilities
and/or mobility issues and they are quite excited and are interest in
being involved in some way, including a focus on creation of a project
area. Those include:
Jacqueline Isaly, Community Health Promotion Division
Administrator
Joel Peden, MILP Advocacy Coordinator
Charles Alvarez, MILP IL Specialist I
 Meg Traci, Research Associate Professor at the University of
Montana Rural Institute for Inclusive Communities.
I am currently working with these folks on the development of cost
estimates for the project. They may also be available grant funding

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 49 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

		September 15, 2020, 00	for this kind of project and expanding the opportunity more broadly	
			within the City trail system.	
			**Given Matt Culpo's proposal regarding the "bike course" on DeFord, I recommend organizing an on-site field meeting for the DeFord/Davis projects to allow folks to better understand all the aspects of what is being proposed there and to allow for discussion.	
			3. Old Shooting Range Restroom Installation Project. I generally don't understand the trend of installing permanently vaulted toilets at trailheads when there are a number of highly-used City parks that either have no toilet facilities or use portable toilets (Lockey, Memorial, Centennial, etc.). Why are trailheads given a higher priority than parks, that are often heavily used?	
			Are these restroom installations an effort to accommodate increased mountain bicycling use? Or to further meet IMBA Ride Center criteria? Also, will this parking lot be improved to be used as more than just a parking lot?	
			4. I understand the discussion surrounding e-bikes is separate from this focus, however, I believe that the City should very carefully consider the complexities and implications of adding any motorized travel to the mix. Thank you for the opportunity to comment!	
09.29.2020	Tom Kilmer	tom.montana.2011@gmail.com	Hello: Please accept these comments for the record regarding the Helena Open Lands proposed work projects for 2021.	The following list addresses your comments on an item by item basis:
			 I cannot accept or support any projects that work to increase driving to these local trails. I cannot support any infrastructure improvements to parking lots. I voted for open space bonds to have my money spent on trails and land, not on parking lots. 	 Helena Open Lands are public lands open to users of all abilities and from any geographic region, including citizens within our community who are unable to

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 50 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

 	clobel 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Con	
	3. The only trail improvement I can support at this time is to improve	access these lands via foot or
	and modify the DeFord trail to make it ADA compliant.	directly from their neighborhood.
	4. All other trail work proposals need to be deferred until such time as	Projects proposed for parking areas
	the Parks, Recreation and Open Lands Department has completed a	are to accommodate current use
	thorough and comprehensive auditing of our total miles of	and safety issues at these trail
	designated trails and the total miles of user created trails.	access areas; they are not being
	5. No new trails should be built until such time as all user created trails	built to attract more users.
	are obliterated or reclaimed back to their natural state.	However, we recognize that Helena
	6. No new trails should be built until such time as all deferred	Open Lands use continues to grow
	maintenance and repair on existing designated trails has been	and we must consider that many of
	completed.	our access points aren't even
	7. I propose that 50% of the funds that are budgeted for parking lots be	adequately providing access to the
	instead be diverted to an education outreach program to encourage	use they are getting, much less the
	trail users to bicycle or walk to the trails. I propose that the other 50	use they will receive in the future as
	% of parking lot budget items be diverted to the City Streets	our community grows.
	Department for the paint, striping, marking of more bicycle lanes in	2. Managing open lands system
	Helena. If the money pot from the parking lot plans is sufficient for	trailhead parking areas falls under
	only one bicycle lane I propose it be spent on Colonial Drive. The	the managerial jurisdiction of the
	entirety of Colonial Drive from the Motel at the corner with California	City Parks Department.
	Street all the way out to the City Limits is wide enough to	3. Please reference the City's Major
	accommodate bicycle lanes on both sides. This would be best	Project Recommendation
	accomplished by making the entirety of Colonial Drive a no parking	Document.
	area. Beyond Hunters Pointe there is essentially no existing on street	4. Please reference the Parks
	parking at this time so there would be no displacement of	Departments 2020 open Lands Work
	parking. Shodair Hospital and the PT medical clinics on the other side	Plan.
	of the street should be encouraged to provide sufficient off-street	5. Please reference the Parks
	parking for their employees and customers to provide room for	Departments 2020 open Lands Work
	bicycle lanes at that spot.	Plan.
	8. Lastly I cannot support any new trail construction nor any parking lots	6. Please reference the 2020 Trail
	until such time as the City of Helena has completed the Centennial	Conditions Report found on the City
	Trail as envisioned 30 years ago. Needed infrastructure	Parks Department website.
	improvements on the Centennial Trail should take priority with the	-
 L	· · ·	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 51 | 75

Helena Open Lands Major Projects

Consolidated Comment associated with

09.30.2020 Jeff Bradley j.jeff.bradley@gmai		
09.30.2020 Jeff Bradley j.jeff.bradley@gmai	That is all. Thanks so much.	
	 Hello, Please see my comments below on the City of Helena Open Lands Projects. I support the proposals for parking lots developed by the City of Helena. I support the Old Shooting Range restroom project developed by the city. I support the proposal by Matt Culpo that would formalize the jumps on Davis Bike Course and DeFord Jump line. These fit well with a RTP grant that PPLT received to do the work previously. I support the MBG proposal for a trail from the proposed parking lot at the top of Arrowroot to the bridge at the bottom of the directional trails. I support the proposal by Eric Grove for a trail from the bottom of the directional trails to Eddye as a part of the trail suggested by MBG. 	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

09.30.2020	Dan Bachen	Daniel.Bachen@gmail.com	To the City of Helena Open Lands Division,	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			I would like to express my support for the proposed project to increase	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			the number of rock climbing routes on Mt. Helena presented by Brad	
			Maddock on September 15th, 2020. I believe having an established rock	
			climbing area within city limits is a wonderful resource for the city and	
			increasing the opportunity for recreation on city owned lands will benefit	
			not only local climbers, but Helena as a whole.	
			Currently the routes on Mt. Helena include one of the best local areas for	
			beginning and intermediate climbers in our area. These climbs are also	
			some of the closest to the city, and are a wonderful after-work	
			destination. This area also draws interest from many visiting climbers due	
			to its proximity and high concentration of easy and moderate routes. For	
			these reasons, I believe that the previous development of this area has	
			had great benefits for Helena.	
			The proposal submitted to the Open Lands Division details the creation of	
			up to 15 additional routes. I support this as it will continue to expand the	
			opportunities available to local and visiting climbers. To date much of the	
			cliff line has not been developed and the potential for many new routes	
			exists. The city should take advantage of this to increase recreation	
			opportunities. Relative to other outdoor activities such as hiking, biking,	
			and even Frisbee golf, areas to climb on city of Helena lands are	
			extremely limited, and the city should take advantage of the opportunity	
			to increase climbing on Mt. Helena. Furthermore, increasing the number	
			of routes provides more options for climbers and reduces crowding on	
			existing routes and as climbing grows more popular, more areas and	
			routes are needed to spread climbers out and prevent overuse of all	
			areas.	
			Thank you for consideration of my opinion on this matter.	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 53 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

r		October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Col	
09.30.2020 Eliza Frazer	frazer410@gmail.c	Kudos to you for the new format opening ideas for trail projects to the	The following list attempts to respond to
		broad community. Your zoom meeting felt productive and open. I think	your comments in an organized fashion by
		you received about a decade's worth of good ideas.	extracting subjects from the body of your
			narrative and specifically addressing them:
		There is always a question of scarce resources – time and money are	
		often the first – but an equally if not more important scarce resource is the land itself.	1. (Taking Care of Trails should have precedence over parking lots) On a
			yearly basis Helena's Parks
		Please take into consideration that the South Hills trail system does not	Department attempts to implement
		have unlimited capacity. The number of comments regarding	activities that increase the
		maintenance is a good indicator that build out may have reached a	effectiveness of both trail & trailhead
		crucial threshold and taking care of our trails that got "pounded" this	management without prioritizing one
		summer should take precedence over parking lots other than the one	over the other. In Calendar Year
		that addresses safety.	2020, the Parks Department designed a trail maintenance work plan that
		Well intentioned projects that seem to fix one problem, may in fact make	inventoried trail conditions within the
		the larger problem of overuse worse. It is an understatement to say this	entire open lands system for the
		year is unusual and basing parking needs on single year, particularly this	expressed purpose of bringing
		year seems unwise.	attention to maintenance needs
			identified within the trails analysis.
		In particular, a handicap restroom at the shooting range seems like	Concurrently, the Parks Department
		putting the cart before the horse as there is no handicap trail options	implemented projects to
		there. It would seem better to have handicap access to a repaired DeFord	repair/upgrade/replace existing
		trail. The DeFord trail is wide and level, but not in good repair. Nor is	trailhead infrastructure while
		there access from the parking lot that in any way resembles a safe	managing public needs and
		handicap option. Once on the trail, there is no framework for separating	vegetation at the various public
		slower traffic from blazing fast traffic. This area cries for work on the	access points located within the City's
		trails themselves. The Davis Gulch bike course trail plan has much to	open lands system. To date, both trail
		recommend it and deserves to be explored by the community.	and trailhead maintenance needs
			have been met without either open
		The two proposed DeFord/ South Davis parking expansion plans make no	lands system entity lacking the
		sense in light of the Helena roads department's long stated plan to	attention they deserve.

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 54 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19,	
resurface South Davis. It seems like coordin	
in the best interest of the tax payers.	seems unwise) The Trailhead
Focusing on parking does not address the o	overuse of the system. More improvement projects prosed by City
trail use may not be desirable or sustainab	le – or responsible when there staff are a result of multi-year
is already a backlog of maintenance. Again	, thank you for soliciting such observation of the public use patterns
broad community input.	at the sites in question.
	3. (Why install an ADA accessible
	restroom at a trailhead that lacks ADA
	access) By law, the City of Helena is
	required to provide ADA access to
	public facilities when an upgrade is
	implemented. Therefore, at that
	point in time when trailhead facilities
	are upgraded, all amenities located at
	that site must be made accessible
	regardless of the ADA access
	classification of the trail system
	supported by the site.
	4. (Dry Gulch Bike Course Plan) Please
	reference the City's Major Project
	Recommendation Document.
	5. (Coordinating with City
	Transportation on the De Ford
	Trailhead Improvement Plan) Please
	reference the City's Major Project
	Recommendation Document.
	6. (Focusing on parking does not address
	over use of the system) The Parks
	Department is fully aware of the
	increased volume of use within
	Helena's open lands system, however,
	our mission as a public agency is not

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 55 | 75

Helena Open Lands Major Projects

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

				to discourage this use, but rather to make our best effort to support and encourage public recreation within Helena's open spaces.
09.30.2020	Denny Palmer	dnj116@gmail.com	Thanks for taking the time to review my individual comments on the proposed Major Projects for Calendar Year 2021. I'll go through each project in the sequence presented in the <u>City's PDF document outlining</u> the proposed projects.	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			DeFord Parking Area Expansion Project – Brad Langsather, Presenter I fully support this proposed project. This appears to be a minor expansion project and, in my opinion, it is necessary due to the overflow parking conditions that have been occurring here. Please adopt this project proposal.	
			Old Shooting Range Restroom Installation Project – Brad Langsather, Presenter I fully support this proposed project. Like with the recent Beattie Street trailhead expansion project, there is a present need for a public restroom at this large and heavily used parking lot. I doubt many people would oppose the installation of a restroom here since the people opposed to the restroom installation at Beattie Street suggested that the City should first install a restroom at the Old Shooting Range Parking lot. Those previous arguments show a broad recognition of the actual need for such a facility at the Old Shooting Range Parking lot. Please adopt this project proposal.	
			Limekiln Parking Area Realignment Project – Brad Langsather, Presenter I fully support this proposed project. Similar to the DeFord Parking Area expansion proposal, the parking realignment at Limekiln is a minor expansion project and is not expensive. The City should move forward with this project to improve the parking at this and at other proposed	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 56 | 75

Helena Open Lands Major Projects

Consolidated Comment associated with

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Con	
locations in 2021.	
Mount Ascension Parking Area Expansion Project – Brad Langsather,	
Presenter	
I fully support this proposed project. The parking area on top of Mount	
Ascension off Martinez Gulch road has become more and more popular	
over recent years. In turn, the existing parking area is insufficient to	
handle the amount of traffic and congestion that is taking	
place. Oftentimes the vehicles parked here are parked sporadically. The	
disorganized nature of the parking lot actually worsens congestion here	
because the parking lot is so narrow. Similar to the other parking lot	
expansion proposals, this is a minor expansion project, it is not	
expensive, and it is necessary due to the overflow and inefficient parking	
conditions that have been occurring here. Please adopt this project	
proposal.	
proposal.	
Directional Trails Exit Trail - Eric Grove, Presenter	
I fully support this project proposal. Like Mr. Grove indicated in his	
proposal, bikers heading back onto Eddye West currently have to travel	
up the old Limekiln Jeep Road. That road is steep to ride uphill. Nearly	
every mountain biker dismounts their bikes at the bottom of the	
directional trails and simply pushes their bikes up this old road. The	
proposed exit trail would effectively remedy the current situation by	
building a low angle uphill route back up to Eddye West. Please adopt	
this proposal.	
New Climbing Routes – Bradley Maddock, Helena Climbers Coalition,	
Presenter	
I fully support this project proposal. The climbing routes on Mount	
Helena are a great new asset on Helena's Open Lands. The climbing bolts	
are nearly impossible to see unless one looks closely for them, so they	
are not an eye sore to the casual observer. Mr. Maddock and the Helena	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 57 | 75

Climbers Coalition have done a great job on the existing routes already in place and it is great to see that they are moving forward with developing new routes. Please adopt this proposal. ADA Trails Expansion - Margareta (Meg) Seyr-Bishop, Presenter I'm unsure exactly what Ms. Bishop has proposed here. It is my understanding that the DeFord Trail is already ADA compliant. Perhaps minor improvements could be made to the trail, but she does not specify what those improvements would entail. I'm not opposed to improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by MS. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Guich Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And t almost goes without saying that uphil riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dagerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" feature		September 15, 2020, 00	ctober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Cor	
new routes. Please adopt this proposal. ADA Trails Expansion - Margareta (Meg) Seyr-Bishop, Presenter I'm unsure exactly what Ms. Bishop has proposed here. It is my understanding that the DeFord Trail is already ADA compliant. Perhaps minor improvements would be made to the trail, but she does not specify what those improvements would entail. I'm not opposed to improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all albities use DeFord Trail as means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features can be an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an ancompatible with safety of biker. Those highmarking features are not anonzoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of				
ADA Trails Expansion - Margareta (Meg) Seyr-Bishop, Presenter I'm unsure exactly what Ms. Bishop has proposed here. It is my understanding that the Deford Trail is already ADA compliant. Perhaps minor improvements could be made to the trail, but she does not specify what those improvements would entail. I'm not opposed to improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the Deford Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Guich Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well ower a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features can be an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking "features are not incompatible with safety of				
Imunsure exactly what Ms. Bishop has proposed here. It is my understanding that the DeFord Trail is aiready ADA compliant. Perhaps minor improvements could be made to the trail, but she does not specify what those improvements would entail. I'm not opposed to improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as i can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features care an apropri			new routes. Please adopt this proposal.	
Image:				
understanding that the DeFord Trail is aiready ADA compliant. Perhaps minor improvements could be made to the trail, but she does not specify what those improvements would entail. I'm not opposed to improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not travelling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features can sen an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features can sen an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or			ADA Trails Expansion - Margareta (Meg) Seyr-Bishop, Presenter	
minor improvements could be made to the trail, but she does not specify what those improvements would entail. I'm not opposed to improviements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphil riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" teatures are not incompatible with safety of			I'm unsure exactly what Ms. Bishop has proposed here. It is my	
what those improvements would entail. I'm not opposed to improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gutch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features are no coming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are no incompatible with safety of			understanding that the DeFord Trail is already ADA compliant. Perhaps	
improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists - of all abilities – use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they ve been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features are not normap biker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			minor improvements could be made to the trail, but she does not specify	
improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features are not anpropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			what those improvements would entail. I'm not opposed to	
specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			improvements made to any of our trails, and certainly with respect to	
whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms. Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features are not coming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			improving opportunities for those with mobility issues. Without more	
Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September 15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			specifics outlined in writing by Ms. Bishop, I cannot say for certain	
15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			whether I support or oppose what she has proposed here. However, Ms.	
bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			Bishop implied during her oral statements during the City's September	
the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			15, 2020 Zoom meeting that her proposal would also entail removing	
this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			bicycles from the DeFord Trail and that she would like the City to remove	
measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			the long-standing "highmarking" features found throughout that trail. If	
getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			this is what she is proposing, then I would strongly oppose either such	
a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			measure. Bicyclists of all abilities use DeFord Trail as a means of	
not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking" features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			getting off Davis Gulch Road. Their ability to travel on the DeFord Trail is	
features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			a safety measure. And it almost goes without saying that uphill riders are	
as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			not traveling at a high rate of speed. Every one of the "highmarking"	
existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			features have been there for well over a decade (they've been there for	
sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			as long as I can remember, so likely closer to 2 decades). Moreover, the	
any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			existing "highmarking" features are not dangerous. There are good	
down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			sightlines for every single one of them. In other words, bikers going over	
biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of			any of those "highmarking" features can see an appropriate distance	
			down the trail to identify whether there is an oncoming hiker or	
other users, nor are they incompatible with the ADA nature of the trail.			biker. Those highmarking features are not incompatible with safety of	
			other users, nor are they incompatible with the ADA nature of the trail.	

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

LIODEI 20, 2020, and NOVERIDEI 19, 2020 LISTERING SESSION CON	
Trail Etiquette and High Trail Usage - Claudia Clifford, Presenter	
I presume that everyone who attended the September 15 Zoom meeting	
and everyone taking the time to submit comments are in favor of and	
recognize the need for good trail etiquette. That is not in itself a	
contentious issue and is something that clearly needs to be addressed	
and worked on by all trail users. Both the MBG and PPLT have	
independently worked on trail etiquette campaigns in the past, and each	
organization continues to do so. However, I also presume that myself	
and Ms. Clifford have differing views on appropriate etiquette	
surrounding some of the topics she addresses in her proposal (i.e., speed,	
high marking, e-bikes, etc.). The MBG would be open to working with a	
collaborative group involving the City, PPLT, and perhaps others to	
develop a uniform trail etiquette campaign and signage. It's my	
understanding that PPLT has already begun to develop proposed signs,	
brochures, etc. What that campaign eventually looks like and whether	
the City allocates funds towards that campaign are both issues that will	
need to be decided.	
Archery Change Trail Options - Ken Morrison, Presenter	
For the most part, I'm impartial on this proposal. However, I will say that	
the rocky ridge section identified by Mr. Morrison is a fun section of	
downhill trail for mountain bikers. It would be unfortunate if this small	
rocky section were removed from the trail system altogether.	
Davis Gulch Bike Course / Multi-Use Trails - Matt Culpo, Presenter	
I fully support this project proposal. It is long past due for the City to	
formalize the Davis Gulch Bike Course and allow signage and	
improvements to the bike course. I urge the City to please adopt Mr.	
Culpo's project proposal.	
Dump Gulch Project - Eric Sivers, Presenter	
I fully support each component of Mr. Sivers's project proposal.	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 59 | 75

	September 15, 2020, O	ctober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Cor	nments
		For a long time now there has been a growing need for a bike-optimized trail leading down to the bottom of Dump Gulch. Building such a trail would alleviate pressure on other trails coming off Mount Helena and would help to decrease the propensity for user conflict (<i>see, e.g.,</i> Ms. Clifford's comment).	
		I agree that the City should implement a few minor re-routes on the 2006 Trail above Aftershock Trail to reduce the grade. This isn't a high priority project, in my opinion, but doing so would clearly improve the existing trail since it is a primary uphill route to the top of Mt. Ascension. I urge the City to adopt Mr. Sivers's project proposal.	
		 Proposal for ADA-Compliant Trail on Mt. Helena - Tony Zammit, Presenter I fully support this project proposal. Mr. Zammit has a clear plan in place to develop a new ADA accessible trail on Mount Helena. This would be a major benefit for Helena's citizens and would improve the opportunities for many people with mobility issues to get out and enjoy Helena's open space. I urge the City to adopt Mr. Zammit's project proposal. 	
		 <u>Proposal for Rerouting Portion of Trail above Beattie</u> - Nate Kopp, PPLT, Presenter I fully support this project proposal. I urge the City to adopt Mr. Kopp's project proposal. 	
		Thanks for taking the time to review these comments and for engaging the public in the process.	
Helena Hikes Steering Committee:	sanna.porte@gmail.com	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2021 proposed major projects for HOL. It's exciting to see the new public involvement process at work for the first time, and we're pleased to be part of it.	For the purpose of efficiency, responses to your comments have been organized by topic. Following is an itemized response to your topics of conversation:
	Steering	Helena Hikes Steering	trail leading down to the bottom of Dump Guich. Building such a trail would alleviate pressure on other trails coming off Mount Helena and would help to decrease the propensity for user conflict (see, e.g., Ms. Clifford's comment).I agree that the City should implement a few minor re-routes on the 2006 Trail above Aftershock Trail to reduce the grade. This isn't a high priority project, in my opinion, but doing so would clearly improve the existing trail since it is a primary uphill route to the top of Mt. Ascension. I urge the City to adopt Mr. Sivers's project proposal. Proposal for ADA-Compliant Trail on Mt. Helena - Tony Zammit, Presenter I fully support this project proposal. Mr. Zammit has a clear plan in place to develop a new ADA accessible trail on Mount Helena. This would be a major benefit for Helena's citizens and would improve the opportunities for many people with mobility issues to get out and enjoy Helena's open space. I urge the City to adopt Mr. Zammit's project proposal. Proposal for Rerouting Portion of Trail above Beattie - Nate Kopp, PPLT, Presenter I fully support this project proposal. I urge the City to adopt Mr. Kopp's project proposal.Helena Hikes Steeringsanna.porte@gmail.com

~~ ~~~ . . . ~ _

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 60 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	Overview comments		(Open Lands Budget) The Open
Barry Hood Claudia Clifford Jerry Wells Joan Miles Lisa Bay	While Helena Hikes understands the potential needs of several trailhead parking areas, we believe a higher priority would be to address safety on our trails, a strong etiquette campaign, and conservation efforts (rehabilitation of damaged trails and ongoing maintenance issues). It is not clear how the Department's budget is allocated between major		Lands Division annually presents its yearly budget to City Commission. The public is encouraged to participate in the City's budget planning process.
Sanna Porte Tony Jewett	projects (such as the parking area proposals) vs. ongoing trail upkeep and education in order to ensure safety on our trails and the conservation of this important resource. This makes it more difficult to comment on specific parking area proposals.		(Trail Maintenance) For Calendar Year 2020, information related to trail maintenance can be found in on the City Parks Department website at:
	We encourage Parks and Recreation to evaluate which are the most critical parking upgrades and balance this with dedicating sufficient funding for important maintenance/rehabilitation issues on our trail system. Many of these maintenance issues (such as some of the issues brought up by Eric Sivers) appear to have safety implications and should be attended to.	3.	https://www.helenamt.gov/parksd ocuments. (HOLMAC Budget Overview) City staff annually present HOLMAC with an overview of the Open Lands Division budget during its developmental phase.
	Helena Hikes also would like to see the Department present a budget overview to HOLMAC and clearly delineate what discretionary funds are available in order to present a balanced workplan for 2021. I.e., the budget should not be overly weighted toward major new projects. Taking	4.	(Directional Trail Sessioning Route) Please reference the City's Major Project Recommendation Document.
	care of our trail system should be a priority. Directional Trails exit route, Eric Grove Helena Hikes supports a clear exit route as well as a sessioning route at the bottom of the Directional Trails. This needs to be coupled with safety measures on the lower portion of Eddye West. This is long overdue. Eric Grove has pointed out the safety concerns about riders going from Arrowroot to Eddye West. Additionally, hiking on the lower portions of	6.	(Directional Trail Mitigation Measures) Any measures to be implemented with regards to Helena's trail system will involve participation from all stakeholders who have expressed interest in the City's open lands system. (De Ford ADA Trail) Please reference the City's Major Project Recommendation Document.

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 61 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	Eddye West has become extremely dangerous, with riders speeding	7. (De Ford/Lower Dry Gulch Bike Skills
	down the trail – much of which is fairly straight.	Course Public Process) Please
		reference the City's Major Project
	When the Directional Trails were built two years ago, we strongly urged	Recommendation Document.
	the City Commission to make sure these new trails did not negatively	8. (HOLMAC Etiquette Plan Discussion)
i	impact the experience for hikers and walkers on Eddye West, and we	HOLMAC's 2020 meeting schedule
	offered mitigation suggestions. At that time, the City Commission	has been significantly impacted by
	directed the Department to explore potential mitigating measures, but it	COVID-19, however, upon
	hasn't happened. It is time to address not only the safety of riders (which	resumption of normal meeting
	we recognize as a legitimate concern), but also the safety of other trail	agendas, HOLMAC will be
	users. Helena Hikes would welcome the opportunity to work with the	encouraged to discuss all aspects of
	Department, the presenter, and others to find workable solutions.	the Trail related items that have
		developed over the last 7-8 months.
	New climbing routes, Bradley Maddock	9. (Archery Range Trail) Please
	We have no comments on these proposals at this time.	reference the City's Major Project
		Recommendation Document.
	ADA trails expansion, Margareta (Meg) Seyr-Bishop	10. (Dump Gulch) Please reference the
	Helena Hikes strongly supports this concept while recognizing that it	City's Major Project
	needs fleshing out in terms of what its physical characteristics might be,	Recommendation Document.
	what it would cost, and the level of support and interest it has from ADA	11. (Interpretive Signage) City staff
	partners.	
		determined that this subject did not
		determined that this subject did not meet the "Major Project" criteria,
	We agree that installation of an ADA-compliant trail would provide a	-
		meet the "Major Project" criteria,
	We agree that installation of an ADA-compliant trail would provide a	meet the "Major Project" criteria, and therefore, would be
	We agree that installation of an ADA-compliant trail would provide a unique and meaningful contribution to our trail system, one that would	meet the "Major Project" criteria, and therefore, would be incorporated into the 2021 Work
	We agree that installation of an ADA-compliant trail would provide a unique and meaningful contribution to our trail system, one that would allow folks with restricted mobility to get a 1.5-mile workout in a natural	meet the "Major Project" criteria, and therefore, would be incorporated into the 2021 Work Plan development process.
	We agree that installation of an ADA-compliant trail would provide a unique and meaningful contribution to our trail system, one that would allow folks with restricted mobility to get a 1.5-mile workout in a natural setting. The City's proposed ADA-compliant restroom at the Old Shooting	meet the "Major Project" criteria, and therefore, would be incorporated into the 2021 Work Plan development process. 12. (System Wide Trail Assessment) City
	We agree that installation of an ADA-compliant trail would provide a unique and meaningful contribution to our trail system, one that would allow folks with restricted mobility to get a 1.5-mile workout in a natural setting. The City's proposed ADA-compliant restroom at the Old Shooting	 meet the "Major Project" criteria, and therefore, would be incorporated into the 2021 Work Plan development process. 12. (System Wide Trail Assessment) City staff determined that this subject
	We agree that installation of an ADA-compliant trail would provide a unique and meaningful contribution to our trail system, one that would allow folks with restricted mobility to get a 1.5-mile workout in a natural setting. The City's proposed ADA-compliant restroom at the Old Shooting Range would complement this proposal.	 meet the "Major Project" criteria, and therefore, would be incorporated into the 2021 Work Plan development process. 12. (System Wide Trail Assessment) City staff determined that this subject did not meet the "Major Project"
	We agree that installation of an ADA-compliant trail would provide a unique and meaningful contribution to our trail system, one that would allow folks with restricted mobility to get a 1.5-mile workout in a natural setting. The City's proposed ADA-compliant restroom at the Old Shooting Range would complement this proposal. Given that this ADA proposal and the Davis Gulch bike course proposal	 meet the "Major Project" criteria, and therefore, would be incorporated into the 2021 Work Plan development process. 12. (System Wide Trail Assessment) City staff determined that this subject did not meet the "Major Project" criteria, and therefore, would be

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 62 | 75

to be considered in the context of growing bike use funneling down off	13. (Natural Surface Trail Management)
the ridges into this popular area.	City staff determined that this subject did not meet the "Major
Trail safety & etiquette, Claudia Clifford	Project" criteria, and therefore,
(Note: Helena Hikes steering committee member Claudia Clifford did not	would be incorporated into the
actually submit a proposal on safety and etiquette; she just asked the	2021 Work Plan development
Department about potential plans and funding for a safety and etiquette	process.
campaign.) We were pleasantly surprised recently to see a 2020 trail	
etiquette plan posted on the Department's website. We were unaware	
that the etiquette plan had been completed by PPLT and submitted to	
the City. We have suggestions to enhance the plan in several areas, and	
no doubt other trail users will too. Therefore, we urge the Department to	
ask HOLMAC to put the plan on its agenda for public discussion and	
comment. A safety and etiquette campaign is bound to be more	
successful with public input on both the plan itself and the level of	
funding needed.	
Educating both Helenans and out-of-town visitors on trail safety and	
etiquette will benefit us all into the future, especially given the	
dramatically increased use of our HOL trails.	
Archery Range trail options, Ken Morrison	
As with a number of other proposals, we would love the opportunity to	
learn more about this. We urge the City to organize a site visit with Ken	
Morrison and interested citizens.	
Davis Gulch bike course/multi-use trails, Matt Culpo	
This project has much to recommend it: It addresses a situation in the	
lower Gulch where severe damage needs to be dealt with; it incorporates	
etiquette reminders; it addresses mitigation for damage from bikers high-	

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

marking the DeFord trail; and it provides fencing to funnel bike use into	
the Gulch, rather than off of various points on the DeFord Trail.	
Our concern is that the formalization of the bike course will likely attract	
more use to the area, with potential attendant impacts to the DeFord	
Trail. This trail is a favorite of walkers, families, and x-country skiers in	
winter. It is one of the few low-gradient trails in the HOL system, and	
therefore cherished by walkers and families wishing a less challenging	
experience.	
We therefore request: 1) that the City hold an on-site meeting with the	
presenter, Matt Culpo, so the public can understand all aspects of the	
project, and 2) that in order to mitigate the additional use generated by a	
bike course, a "sessioning trail" retaining all bike use within the Gulch be	
incorporated into the proposal. We would like the City to consider	
making this section of the DeFord Trail walker/runner/and potentially	
ADA-use only. Helena Hikes would like to explore with the City and the	
presenter how to create an "optimal experience" for both bikers and	
walkers (and potentially ADA users) along the entire DeFord Trail, and we	
believe it is possible, with some deeper consideration of this entire area.	
Dump Gulch bike-optimized trail, Aftershock re-route, 2006 trail re-	
route, Paydirt rehabilitation, Eric Sivers	
We're not familiar enough with these proposals to offer substantive	
comments, and we urge the City to conduct public site visits with Eric	
Sivers and interested citizens so we can better understand the proposals.	
We acknowledge that Mr. Sivers brings up an important safety issue by	
raising the need for a way that bikes can descend from the Ridgeline to	
the gulch. We would also point out that numerous local hikers have	
raised significant concerns about bikes descending from the Ridgeline	
down Show Me Horse, McKelvey, and other trails at speeds that	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 64 | 75

. , ,	, , , , , ,	
	endanger hikers. Consideration of a bike-optimized trail down route, accompanied with a hiker-only down route, would minimize the chance of collisions and improve safety for all. This issue is important to explore, and Helena Hikes would commit to participating in discussions.	
	Interpretive & etiquette signage, Eric Sivers We support this proposal and would like to be part of the conversation in developing the signage.	
	System-wide trail assessment, Eric Sivers Helena Hikes believes this ambitious assessment should be the City's highest priority project over the next year. As Mr. Sivers states: "A systematic assessment will help lay out a maintenance work plan for upcoming years." And, we would add, it would help the Department more easily construct its capacity and budget needs into the future, and offer a more sustainable trail system while creating less environmental damage.	
	This idea was presented to the Department by an ad hoc group comprising Montana Bicycle Guild, Helena Hikes, and Helena Trails Alliance in 2019. The group offered to marshal volunteers to conduct the effort and received a favorable reception at the time from Director Ponozzo. We recognize that the City contracted with PPLT to conduct a trails assessment in 2020; however, the ad hoc group had proposed a far more in-depth assessment, including the mapping and evaluation of social trails.	
	Helena Hikes would like to work with the other groups, PPLT, and the Department during winter 2020 on how such an assessment would be conducted. We would work to recruit volunteers to implement it next spring/summer. This largely volunteer effort would reduce overall costs	

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

		-	to the City and could set forth long-term protocols for future trails	
			assessments for the City and its contractors.	
			Natural surface trails, Eric Sivers	
			We have no comments on this proposal at this time.	
			Thanks again for the opportunity to comment, and we look forward to continuing to participate in the public process for the 2021 major	
			projects plan.	
09.30.2020	TJ Lehman	tjlehman3@gmail.com	I want to thank you for your time and energy in this process to finalize the open lands 2021 project plan. I've listed below my support for the major projects with my recommendations or notes in support.	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			DeFord parking expansion will need to take into account the private driveway across the road so that there's a safe distance for vehicles to enter and exit the parking area and driveway.	
			Old Shooting Range vaulted toilet is a great addition to the trailhead area. If cement picnic tables are an option I would recommend this over wooden tables as they tend to disappear. You could also consider burying a cement anchor block and chaining the wood table(s).	
			Lime Kiln trailhead parking is a safe solution that I think is a great idea. Trail signage and a system map would be a great addition to the parking area. Share the road bicycle signs on Lime Kiln and Martinez gulch would be a great addition too. Thank you for coming up with this.	
			Mt ascension trailhead parking area expansion is much needed. As stated in the listening session the parking is confusing, narrow, and vehicles are parked regularly disjointed. Creating more space will accommodate the current use and should prepare Helena for any future growth. This will also eliminate any vehicles parking on the side of	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 66 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	Martinez gulch road or blocking the road for through traffic. Trail signage	
	and a system map would be a great addition to the parking area.	
	The ADA trail on Mount Helena is a fantastic idea for our south hills trail	
	system and the city park of Mount Helena. I especially like the idea of	
	points of interest with historical signage and benches. This will be used	
	by families, people with disabilities, and a great place overall to showcase	
	Helena.	
	The Davis bike skills course improvement and adoption into the trail	
	system is another project I support. This is a great place in our open	
	lands for our youth to develop their mountain biking skills. I frequently	
	see kids of all ages utilizing this area for bicycling which is great to see	
	kids in the outdoors. Creating a liaison for this trail that has the expertise	
	in trail construction and experience with biking various skill level trails	
	will help improve this area greatly. I support this proposal.	
	The return trail proposal at the bottom of the mt ascension directional	
	trails is another project I support. The current jeep road isn't ideal for	
	climbing or for sustainability. Cutting in a return trail with a more	
	suitable grade, sweeping turns, and long sight lines will be a great project	
	I support. I would also like to see the cones picked up at the bottom of	
	the directional trail as I've considered multiple times dragging them	
	down and delivering them back to the city myself. Any additional signage	
	needed I would personally be happy to help install in this area.	
	Dump Gulch bike-optimized trail is a long discussed idea that has now	
	made its way to a proposal in what I believe to be the perfect project. I	
	believe it will be a great addition to the Helena ridge trail system as it first	
	will create a safe route back into town rather than the more popular	
	"show me the horse trail" that puts riders on the road for 2 miles back	
	into town. The current McKelvey trail is a popular uphill trail that	
	basically goes straight up and down. Putting in a bike-optimized trail in	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 67 | 75

			dump gulch is a great way to use what was once the dump for the city. Let's turn dump gulch into a gem with this project. Thank you for taking the time to read my supporting bullets for the projects mentioned above. If you have any questions please let me know and I would be happy to clarify.	
09.30.2020	Lacey Gunther	lacey.gunther95@gmail.com kelsey.gunther21@gmail.com	Please see below for my comments on the proposed trail projects for 2020-2021. The majority seem like great investments for our community, given that the population of trail users will only continue to grow.	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			 DeFord Parking Area Expansion Project - this is a very reasonable and welcome addition that recognizes the amount of use the existing parking areas are seeing. If we don't build it people will continue to take matters into their own hands which will not benefit anyone. Old Shooting Range Restroom Installation Project - this is a very reasonable and natural addition to one of our largest parking areas. It would also help decrease the use of "off trail bathrooms." Limekiln Parking Area Realignment Project - this is an awesome suggestion that recognizes that issues with the existing parking area and will help to further disperse users in the trail system by making it safer, larger, and easier to use. Mount Ascension Parking Area Expansion Project - this is a sorely needed expansion in a highly-used location that will help to prevent the disorganized parking that exists today. Directional Trails Exit Trail - the suggestion by Eric Grove is natural and a much-needed addition in this area. I frequently use the directional trails and would love to be able to ride my bike back up instead of having to hike-a-bike the old jeep road. 	

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

			 Davis Gulch Bike Course / Multi-Use Trails - I absolutely love to see all of the kids who have flocked to the Davis bike skills area and strongly feel that formalizing and signing the area will be a welcome addition to our trail system. The suggestions by Matt Culpo are great and have low-to-no impact on other trail users. Dump Gulch Project(s) - from Eric Sivers A bike-optimized trail down to the bottom of dump gulch would be a huge addition to the trail system that would provide an enormous benefit to a significant number of mountain bikers of all skill levels. Re-routing portions of the 2006 trail above Aftershock to reduce grade is a great suggestion that could be easily accommodated. My legs and lungs thank you for considering this option. Re-routing portions of the 2006 trail above Arrowroot to reduce grade is a great suggestion that could be easily accommodated. Rehabilitating the portion of Paydirt Trail between the two rock outcrops is a great suggestion. Eric's idea to provide a soil ramp on the outside will work well. Please maintain the rocky portion in its current state for mountain biking as well. 	
09.30.2020	James Szerwo	szerwo@gmail.com	awesome project that I would love to see happen.I am writing to show my support for all the major projects proposed in the City of Helena Open Lands Division Proposed Major Projects -	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			Calendar Year 2021. As a resident, biker, and hiker of Helena, these projects would have a positive impact on the recreation surrounding our city. Improved parking and facilities would ease congestion around	Trail System. We appreciate your input.

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 69 | 75

			 trailheads. ADA accessible trails would allow more people to enjoy the outdoors. Improved, rerouted, and new trails would improve the experience of all users. Signage for trail etiquette would help reduce conflict between trail users of different types. Again, I support all of the proposed major projects listed in the City of Helena Open Lands Division Proposed Major Projects - Calendar Year 2021. I would also like to add that class 1 e-bikes be allowed in all areas standard bicycles are allowed. Much like the ADA trails increasing access for those that otherwise would not be able to enjoy the outdoor trails, class 1 e-bikes allow for improved access for those that may not otherwise be able. E-bikes could also reduce trailhead congestion by allowing users to ride from home due to the increased range rather than 	
09.30.2020	Vicki Anfinson	vickia31@gmail.com	 After reading through the trail projects for 2020-2021, I would like to comment on the importance of trail maintenance. Point #6 of Eric Sivers proposal regarding assessment of current trails for restructuring and maintenance should be a high priority. Trail usage increases every year 	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			 and by mid-summer many of them are in need of repair. Not only for cyclists but for hikers. Trail expansion is great, but we need to maintain existing trails. I bike most of the single track trails 2-3 times per week and very much 	
09.30.2020	Jake Gunther	jake.gunther21@gmail.com	appreciate having them in my 'backyard'. Thank you for your work. I strongly support the following projects:	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			 DeFord Parking Area Expansion Project - this is a very reasonable and welcome addition that recognizes the amount of use the existing parking areas are seeing. If we don't build it people will continue to take matters into their own hands which will not benefit anyone. 	Trail System. We appreciate your input.

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	 Old Shooting Range Restroom Installation Project - this is a very reasonable and natural addition to one of our largest parking areas. My wife and I recently welcomed a new daughter and we are thrilled that the City Parks staff is working to make our future visits to this trailhead more friendly for young children with the addition of restroom facilities. Limekiln Parking Area Realignment Project - this is an awesome suggestion that recognizes that issues with the existing parking area and will help to further disperse users in the trail system by making it safer, larger, and easier to use. Mount Ascension Parking Area Expansion Project - this is a sorely needed expansion in a highly-used location that will help to prevent the disorganized parking that exists today. Directional Trails Exit Trail - the suggestion by Eric Grove is natural and much-needed addition in this area. I frequently use the directional trails and would love to be able to ride my bike back up instead of having to hike-a-bike the old jeep road. New Climbing Routes - while I am not a climber myself, I could easily see my daughter become one someday and I am excited about the prospect of expanding the sport climbing on Mt. Helena. Davis Gulch Bike Course / Multi-Use Trails - I absolutely love to see all of the kids who have flocked to the Davis bike skills area and strongly feel that formalizing and signing the area will be a welcome addition to our trail system. I occasionally use the jumps/skills courses myself and believe the suggestions by Matt Culpo are great and have low-to-no impact on other trail users. Dump Gulch Project(s) - from Eric Sivers A bike-optimized trail down to the bottom of dump gulch would be a huge addition to the trail system that would provide an enormous benefit to a significant number of 	
	provide an enormous benefit to a significant number of mountain bikers of all skill levels.	
1	mountain bixers of an skill levels.	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 71 | 75

September 15, 2020, October 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Comments

	1	5cptcillber 15, 2020, 00	tober 20, 2020, and November 19, 2020 Listening Session Col	
			 Re-routing portions of the 2006 trail above Aftershock to 	
			reduce grade is a great suggestion that could be easily	
			accommodated. My legs and lungs thank you for	
			considering this option.	
			 Re-routing portions of the 2006 trail above Arrowroot to 	
			reduce grade is a great suggestion that could be easily	
			accommodated. My legs and lungs thank you for	
			considering this option.	
			 Rehabilitating the portion of Paydirt Trail between the 	
			two rock outcrops is a great suggestion. Eric's idea to	
			provide a soil ramp on the outside will work well. Please	
			maintain the rocky portion in its current state for	
			mountain biking as well.	
			 I support reviewing the trail system with a particular focus 	
			on addressing drainage issues caused by out-dated trail	
			building practices.	
			 Proposal for ADA-Compliant Trail on Mt. Helena - this is an 	
			awesome project that I would love to see happen. Our disabled	
			community members deserve no less and I would be happy to	
			volunteer my time for its construction. I could see my wife and I	
			walking our daughter on this trail while she is young.	
			Thank you so much for all of the hard work you do!	
09.30.2020	Aaron Fiaschetti	afiaschetti77@gmail.com	I just wanted to email to support for the following projects	Thank you for taking time to submit your
				comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			1. Directional Trails Exit Trail -Eric Grove, Presenter	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			2. Davis Gulch Bike Course / Multi-Use Trails, Matt Culpo, Presenter	
			3. Dump Gulch Project, Eric Sivers, Presenter - including other	
			suggestions	
			4. Proposal for ADA-Compliant Trail on Mt. Helena, Tony Zammit,	
			Presenter	

September 15, 2020 and October 20, 2020 Listening Session Comments - City Responses/Recommendations - Page 72 | 75

			The expansion of parking seems practical. Thanks.	
09.30.2020	Branton Holmes	brantonion@gmail.com	I wanted to comment on a few of the open lands project proposals.	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands
			First, I want to support the proposal to add new climbing routes to the	Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			headwall on Mount Helena. I have been climbing for years, but only really	
			gotten back into rock climbing this summer after moving to Helena about	
			3 years ago. I have since climbed most of the routes on Mt Helena in each	
			of the current climbing walls/areas. I think that adding more routes	
			would be a great addition for the local climbing community. With the	
			routes on Mount Helena, we really do have a unique opportunity to have	
			a great climbing area that many people could walk to straight from their	
			front door! There are a few reasons that adding more routes would be a	
			good addition to the climbing area.	
			For one, it would help to spread out climbing users as the community	
			grows, helping to avoid traffic-jams or user conflicts. In addition to that, it	
			could help to provide a safe progression in the sport for users as they	
			grow in their climbing skills. Currently Mt Helena has a decent number of	
			easier routes and moderate routes (5.6 - 5.10d), but only a couple of	
			moderately hard routes in the 5.11 range, and one 5.12 and one 5.13.	
			Opening up more area to climbing route development could lead to more	
			5.11, 12 and perhaps even harder routes which would give climbers a	
			good number of harder routes to work through. I truly believe that the	
			climbing area has little to no impact on other non-climbing users of the	
			1906 trail. I have never had someone come to me with an issue when I	
			have been climbing on the closest routes to the trail on the Red Slab	
			area, and often will have people stop to watch and ask questions while I	
			climb a route! As for visual impact, I imagine that if you surveyed most	
			trail users, they would likely have never seen any of the climbing	
			hardware on MT Helena, especially if the climbing area sign wasn't there	
			to warn them that something might be around. The only hardware that a	

09.30.2020	Tom Palmer	palmers79@gmail.com	Greetings and thank you for the opportunity to comment on your trailhead parking area proposals.	Thank you for taking time to submit your comments on the City of Helena Open Lands Trail System. We appreciate your input.
			 One last note on the climbing area - I'm fairly sure that both of the bolts mentioned in the note about route maintenance were bolts I reported. The online tool to report them is great, but it might be good to look into ways to make more people aware of the bolt reporting tool. I also wanted to comment on the Dump Gulch proposal, but more specifically the proposal to reduce the grade in various steep portions of trails as well as going through a system-wide trail assessment. I am an experienced mountain biker with good fitness, but I have a partner who is fairly new to the sport and who doesn't have the same fitness level and have seen through her how it can really diminish her enjoyment on the local trails. It is very great that our local trails are so easily accessible from town, but for many users, they aren't particularly accessible when it takes so much out of you to get to the top of some of our trails. Improving many of the trails throughout the South Hills could also help to spread out users if there were more trails that were more suitable for riding uphill. On the same note of accessibility, I also fully support adding the ADA-compliant trail from the Mt Helena parking lot. Anything that can allow someone to utilize our public lands who otherwise might not be able to is a benefit to our trail system. I just want to add that I am extremely happy to live in an area with such great and accessible options for outdoor recreation. I have recently started working for a remote job where I can live anywhere, but the uniquely accessible outdoor adventures of Helena keep me here. 	
			hiker could really have any chance of seeing are the first couple of bolts on the routes "Apple" and "Black Lung' on the red slab wall.	

Helena Open Lands Major Projects

Consolidated Comment associated with

	I would encourage Helena Parks And Recreation to place a tighter focus		
		on trail safety, etiquette, conservation and maintenance at this time	
		before building and expanding additional parking facilities.	
		Thank you again for the opportunity to comment.	
09.15.2020 See Attached	2020 September Listening	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ARE INCLUDED IN THE MINUTES FROM THE	
	Session	SEPTEMBER 15 LISTENING SESSION SUMMARY	
		See "EXHIBIT B" - COMBINED TRAIL PROJECTS 2020-2021	